

|                       |            |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Policy Number         | SUR706.009 |
| Policy Effective Date | 01/01/2026 |

## Sleep Related Breathing Disorders: Surgical Management

| Table of Contents                 |
|-----------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Coverage</a>          |
| <a href="#">Policy Guidelines</a> |
| <a href="#">Description</a>       |
| <a href="#">Rationale</a>         |
| <a href="#">Coding</a>            |
| <a href="#">References</a>        |
| <a href="#">Policy History</a>    |

| Related Policies (if applicable)                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SUR706.001: Nasal and Sinus Surgery                                |
| MED204.005 Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome           |
| MED204.006 Medical Management of Sleep Related Breathing Disorders |
|                                                                    |
|                                                                    |

### Disclaimer

#### Carefully check state regulations and/or the member contract.

Each benefit plan, summary plan description or contract defines which services are covered, which services are excluded, and which services are subject to dollar caps or other limitations, conditions or exclusions. Members and their providers have the responsibility for consulting the member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract to determine if there are any exclusions or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. **If there is a discrepancy between a Medical Policy and a member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract, the benefit plan, summary plan description or contract will govern.**

### Coverage

Palatopharyngoplasty (e.g., uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, uvulopharyngoplasty, uvulopalatal flap, expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty, lateral pharyngoplasty, palatal advancement pharyngoplasty, relocation pharyngoplasty) **may be considered medically necessary** for the treatment of clinically significant obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) syndrome in appropriately selected adults who have failed an adequate trial of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or failed an adequate trial of an oral appliance. Clinically significant OSA is defined as those individuals who have:

- Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI) or Respiratory Disturbance Index (RDI) of 15 or more events per hour, or
- AHI or RDI of at least 5 events per hour with 1 or more signs or symptoms associated with OSA (e.g., excessive daytime sleepiness, hypertension, cardiovascular heart disease, or stroke).

Hyoid suspension, surgical modification of the tongue, and/or maxillofacial surgery, including mandibular-maxillary advancement (MMA), **may be considered medically necessary** in appropriately selected adults with clinically significant OSA and objective documentation of

hypopharyngeal obstruction who have failed an adequate trial of CPAP or failed an adequate trial of an oral appliance. Clinically significant OSA is defined as those individuals who have:

- AHI or RDI of 15 or more events per hour, or
- AHI or RDI of at least 5 events per hour with 1 or more signs or symptoms associated with OSA (e.g., excessive daytime sleepiness, hypertension, cardiovascular heart disease, or stroke).

Adenotonsillectomy **may be considered medically necessary** in pediatric individuals with clinically significant OSA and hypertrophic tonsils. Clinically significant OSA is defined as those pediatric individuals who have:

- AHI or RDI of at least 5 per hour, or
- AHI or RDI of at least 1.5 per hour in an individual with excessive daytime sleepiness, behavioral problems, or hyperactivity.

Surgical treatment of OSA that does not meet the criteria above **is considered not medically necessary**.

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation used in accordance with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved indications **may be considered medically necessary** in adults with OSA under the following conditions:

- Age  $\geq 18$  years; AND
- AHI  $\geq 15$  and  $\leq 100$  with  $\leq 25\%$  central apneas; AND
- CPAP failure (residual AHI  $\geq 15$  or failure to use CPAP  $\geq 4$  hours per night for  $\geq 5$  nights per week) or inability to tolerate CPAP; AND
- Body mass index  $\leq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; AND
- Absence of complete concentric collapse at the soft palate level (see Policy Guidelines).

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation used in accordance with the U.S. FDA approved indications may be considered **medically necessary** in adolescents or young adults with Down syndrome and OSA under the following conditions:

- Age 13 to 18 years; AND
- AHI  $>10$  and  $<50$  with  $\leq 25\%$  central apneas after prior adenotonsillectomy; AND
- Have either tracheotomy or be ineffectively treated with CPAP due to noncompliance, discomfort, undesirable side effects, persistent symptoms despite compliance use, or refusal to use the device; AND
- Body mass index  $\leq 95$ th percentile for age; AND
- Absence of complete concentric collapse at the soft palate level (See Policy Guidelines).

Implantable hypoglossal nerve stimulators **are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven** for all indications other than listed above.

The following minimally invasive surgical procedures **are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven** for the sole or adjunctive treatment of OSA or upper airway resistance syndrome:

- Laser-assisted palatoplasty or radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the palatal tissues;
- Radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the tongue, with or without radiofrequency reduction of the palatal tissues;
- Palatal stiffening procedures including, but not limited to, cautery-assisted palatal stiffening operation, injection of a sclerosing agent, and the implantation of palatal implants;
- Tongue base suspension;
- All other minimally invasive surgical procedures not described above.

All interventions, including laser-assisted palatoplasty, radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the palate, or palatal stiffening procedures, **are considered not medically necessary** for the treatment of snoring in the absence of documented OSA; snoring alone is not considered a medical condition.

## Policy Guidelines

Continuous positive airway pressure is the preferred first-line treatment for obstructive sleep apnea for most individuals. A smaller number of individuals may use oral appliances as a first-line treatment. The Apnea/Hypopnea Index is the total number of events (apnea or hypopnea) per hour of recorded sleep. The Respiratory Disturbance Index is the total number of events (apnea or hypopnea) per hour of recording time. An obstructive apnea is defined as at least a 10-second cessation of respiration associated with ongoing ventilatory effort. Hypopnea is defined as an abnormal respiratory event lasting at least 10 seconds with at least a 30% reduction in thoracoabdominal movement or airflow compared with baseline and with at least a 4% oxygen desaturation.

The hypoglossal nerve (cranial nerve XII) innervates the genioglossus muscle. Stimulation of the nerve causes anterior movement and stiffening of the tongue and dilation of the pharynx. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation reduces airway collapsibility and alleviates obstruction at both the level of the soft palate and tongue base.

Drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) replicates sleep with an infusion of propofol. DISE will suggest either a flat, anterior-posterior collapse or complete circumferential oropharyngeal collapse. Concentric collapse decreases the success of hypoglossal nerve stimulation and is an exclusion criterion for hypoglossal nerve stimulation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

## Description

### Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is characterized by repetitive episodes of upper airway obstruction due to the collapse and obstruction of the upper airway during sleep. The hallmark symptom of OSA is excessive daytime sleepiness, and the typical clinical sign of OSA is snoring,

which can abruptly cease and be followed by gasping associated with a brief arousal from sleep. The snoring resumes when the patient falls back to sleep, and the cycle of snoring/apnea/arousal may be repeated as frequently as every minute throughout the night. Sleep fragmentation associated with the repeated arousal during sleep can impair daytime activity. For example, adults with OSA-associated daytime somnolence are thought to be at higher risk for accidents involving motorized vehicles (i.e., cars, trucks, heavy equipment). OSA in children may result in neurocognitive impairment and behavioral problems. In addition, OSA affects the cardiovascular and pulmonary systems. For example, apnea leads to periods of hypoxia, alveolar hypoventilation, hypercapnia, and acidosis. This, in turn, can cause systemic hypertension, cardiac arrhythmias, and cor pulmonale. Systemic hypertension is common in individuals with OSA. Severe OSA is associated with decreased survival, presumably related to severe hypoxemia, hypertension, or an increase in automobile accidents related to overwhelming sleepiness.

There are racial and ethnic health disparities seen for OSA, impacting the prevalence of disease and accessibility to treatment options, particularly affecting children. Black children are 4 to 6 times more likely to have OSA than White children. (1) Among young adults 26 years of age or younger, African American individuals are 88% more likely to have OSA compared to White individuals. Another study found that African American individuals 65 years of age and older were 2.1 times more likely to have severe OSA than White individuals of the same age group. These health disparities may affect accessibility to treatment for OSA and impact health outcomes. One analysis of insurance claims data, including over 500,000 patients with a diagnosis of OSA, found that increased age above the 18- to 29-year range ( $p<.001$ ) and Black race ( $p=.020$ ) were independently associated with a decreased likelihood of receiving surgery for sleep apnea. (2) Lee et al. (2022) found that Black men had a continuous mortality increase specifically related to OSA over the study period (1999 to 2019; annual percentage change 2.7%; 95% confidence interval, 1.2 to 4.2) compared to any other racial group. (3)

Terminology and diagnostic criteria for OSA are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Terminology and Definitions for Obstructive Sleep Apnea**

| Terms                    | Definitions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Respiratory Event</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Apnea                    | The frequency of apneas and hypopneas is measured from channels assessing oxygen desaturation, respiratory airflow, and respiratory effort. In adults, apnea is defined as a drop in airflow by $\geq 90\%$ of pre-event baseline for at least 10 seconds. Due to faster respiratory rates in children, pediatric scoring criteria define an apnea as $\geq 2$ missed breaths, regardless of its duration in seconds. |
| Hypopnea                 | Hypopnea in adults is scored when the peak airflow drops by at least 30% of pre-event baseline for at least 10 seconds in association with either at least 3% or 4% decrease in arterial oxygen desaturation (depending on the scoring criteria) or an arousal. Hypopneas in                                                                                                                                          |

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                          | children are scored by a $\geq 50\%$ drop in nasal pressure and either a $\geq 3\%$ decrease in oxygen saturation or an associated arousal.                                                                                                                                          |
| Respiratory event-related arousal (RERA) | Respiratory event-related arousal is defined as an event lasting at least 10 seconds associated with flattening of the nasal pressure waveform and/or evidence of increasing respiratory effort, terminating in an arousal but not otherwise meeting criteria for apnea or hypopnea. |
| <b>Respiratory Event Reporting</b>       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI)               | The average number of apneas or hypopneas per hour of sleep.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Respiratory Disturbance Index (RDI)      | The respiratory disturbance index is the number of apneas, hypopneas, or respiratory event-related arousals per hour of sleep time. RDI is often used synonymously with the AHI.                                                                                                     |
| Respiratory event index (REI)            | The respiratory event index is the number of events per hour of monitoring time. Used as an alternative to AHI or RDI in home sleep studies when actual sleep time from EEG is not available.                                                                                        |
| <b>Diagnosis</b>                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)            | Repetitive episodes of upper airway obstruction due to the collapse and obstruction of the upper airway during sleep.                                                                                                                                                                |
| Mild OSA                                 | In adults: AHI of 5 to $<15$ . In children: AHI $\geq 1$ to 5.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Moderate OSA                             | AHI of 15 to $<30$ . Children: AHI of $>5$ to 10.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Severe OSA                               | Adults: AHI $\geq 30$ . Children: AHI of $>10$ .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>Treatment</b>                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Positive airway pressure (PAP)           | CPAP (continuous positive airway pressure), APAP (auto-adjusting positive airway pressure), Bi-PAP (Bi-level positive airway pressure).                                                                                                                                              |
| PAP Failure                              | Usually defined as an AHI greater than 20 events per hour while using PAP.                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| PAP Intolerance                          | PAP use for less than 4 hours per night for 5 nights or more per week, or refusal to use CPAP. CPAP intolerance may be observed in patients with mild, moderate, or severe OSA.                                                                                                      |

EEG: electroencephalogram; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RERA: respiratory event-related arousal

## Regulatory Status

The regulatory status of minimally invasive surgical interventions is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Minimally Invasive Surgical Interventions for Obstructive Sleep Apnea**

| Interventions            | Devices (predicate or prior name) | Manufacturer (previously owner) | Indication                                            | PMA/ 510(k) | Year | FDA Product Code |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------|------------------|
| LAUP                     | Various                           |                                 |                                                       |             |      |                  |
| Radio-frequency ablation | Somnoplasty®                      |                                 | Simple snoring and for the base of the tongue for OSA | K982717     | 1998 | GEI              |

|                               |                                     |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |               |      |     |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----|
| Palatal Implant               | Pillar® Palatal Implant             | Pillar Palatal (Restore Medical/ Medtronic) | Stiffening the soft palate which may reduce the severity of snoring and incidence of airway obstruction in patients with mild-to-moderate OSA                                                                                                                        | K040417       | 2004 | LRK |
| Tongue base suspension        | AIRvance® (Repose)                  | Medtronic                                   | OSA and/or snoring. The AIRvance™ Bone Screw System is also suitable for the performance of a hyoid suspension                                                                                                                                                       | K122391       | 1999 | LRK |
| Tongue base suspension        | Encore™ (PRELUDE III)               | Siesta Medical                              | Treatment of mild or moderate OSA and/or snoring                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | K111179       | 2011 | ORY |
| Hypoglossal Nerve stimulation | Inspire II Upper Airway Stimulation | Inspire Medical Systems                     | Patients ≥ 18 years with AHI ≥15 and ≤100 who have failed (AHI >15 despite CPAP usage) or cannot tolerate (<4-hour use per night for ≥5 nights per week) CPAP and do not have complete concentric collapse at the soft palate level. Patients between ages 18 and 21 | P130008 /S039 | 2014 | MNQ |

|                               |           |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |         |      |     |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------|-----|
|                               |           |                            | should also be contraindicated for or not effectively treated by adeno-tonsillectomy. Inspire is also indicated in pediatric patients ages 13 to 18 years with Down Syndrome and severe sleep apnea (AHI >10 and <50).                                                                                                          |         |      |     |
| Hypoglossal Nerve stimulation | aura6000® | LivaNova (ImThera Medical) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | IDE     | 2014 |     |
| Hypoglossal Nerve stimulation | Genio™    | Nyxoah                     | Adult patients 22 years of age and older who have been confirmed to fail, cannot tolerate or are ineligible to be treated with current standard of care treatments including lifestyle modifications, positive airway pressure (PAP) treatments (such as continuous positive airway pressure [CPAP] or bi-level positive airway | P240024 | 2025 | MNQ |

|  |  |  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  |  |  | pressure [BiPAP] machines), oral appliances (such as mandibular advancement devices), and pharmacotherapy (such as tirzepatide). PAP failure is defined as an inability to eliminate OSA (residual AHI of greater than 15 despite PAP usage), and PAP intolerance is defined as: 1. Inability to use PAP (at least 5 nights per week of usage; usage defined as greater than 4 hours of use per night), or 2. Unwillingness to use PAP (PAP therapy initiated and subsequently discontinued by choice). |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; IDE: investigational device exemption; LAUP: Laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea.

The expanded indication for hypoglossal nerve stimulation in patients age 18 to 21 was based on patients with Down Syndrome and is contingent on a post-approval study of the Inspire® UAS in this age group. The post-approval study will be a multicenter, single-arm, prospective registry with 60 pediatric patients age 18 to 21. Visits will be scheduled at pre-implant, post-implant, 6 months, and yearly thereafter through 5 years.

## Rationale

Medical policies assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of a technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function—including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of a technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance and the quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent 1 or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

### **Obstructive Sleep Apnea**

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is associated with a heterogeneous group of anatomic variants producing obstruction. The normal pharyngeal narrowing may be accentuated by anatomic factors, such as a short, fat “bull” neck, elongated palate and uvula, and large tonsillar pillars with redundant lateral pharyngeal wall mucosa. In addition, OSA is associated with obesity. OSA may also be associated with craniofacial abnormalities, including micrognathia, retrognathia, or maxillary hypoplasia. Obstruction anywhere along the upper airway can result in apnea. The severity and type of obstruction may be described with the Friedman staging system (4) Nonsurgical treatment for OSA or upper airway resistance syndrome includes continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or mandibular repositioning devices. Patients who fail conservative therapy may be evaluated for surgical treatment of OSA.

Traditional surgeries for OSA or upper airway resistance syndrome include uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) and a variety of maxillofacial surgeries such as mandibular-maxillary advancement. UPPP involves surgical resection of the mucosa and submucosa of the soft palate, tonsillar fossa, and the lateral aspect of the uvula. The amount of tissue removed is individualized for each patient, as determined by the potential space and width of the tonsillar pillar mucosa between the 2 palatal arches. UPPP enlarges the oropharynx but cannot correct obstructions in the hypopharynx. Patients who have minimal hypoglossal obstruction have greater success with UPPP. Patients who fail UPPP may be candidates for additional procedures, depending on the site of obstruction. Additional procedures include hyoid suspensions, maxillary and mandibular osteotomies, or modification of the tongue. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy and/or cephalometric measurements have been used as methods to

identify hypopharyngeal obstruction in these patients. The first-line treatment in children is usually adenotonsillectomy. Minimally invasive surgical approaches are being evaluated for OSA in adults.

### Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of minimally invasive surgery in individuals who have OSA is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

### *Populations*

The population of interest is individuals with OSA who have failed or are intolerant of positive airway pressure (PAP). Indications for the various procedures are described in Table 3 and in the Regulatory Status section.

### *Interventions*

The interventions addressed in this review are laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty (LAUP), radiofrequency (RF) volumetric reduction of palatal tissues and base of tongue, palatal stiffening procedures, tongue base suspension, and hypoglossal nerve stimulation (HNS) (see Table 3).

**Table 3. Minimally Invasive Surgical Interventions for Obstructive Sleep Apnea**

| <b>Interventions</b>                                          | <b>Devices</b>         | <b>Description</b>                                                                                      | <b>Key Features</b>                                                                                                                                                                    | <b>Indications</b>                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| LAUP                                                          | Various                | Superficial palatal tissues are sequentially reshaped over 3 to 7 sessions using a carbon dioxide laser | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part of the uvula and associated soft-palate tissues are reshaped</li> <li>Does not alter tonsils or lateral pharyngeal wall tissues</li> </ul> | Snoring with or without OSA           |
| RF volumetric reduction of palatal tissues and base of tongue | Somnoplasty            | Radiofrequency is used to produce thermal lesions within the tissues                                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Similar to LAUP</li> <li>Can include soft palate and base of tongue</li> </ul>                                                                  | Simple snoring and base of tongue OSA |
| Palatal Implant                                               | Pillar Palatal Implant | Braided polyester filaments that are implanted submucosally in the soft palate                          | Up to 5 implants may be used                                                                                                                                                           | Snoring                               |

|                                     |                                     |                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                          |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tongue base suspension              | AIRvance Encore                     | A suture is passed through the tongue and fixated with a screw to the inner side of the mandible, below the tooth roots | The aim of the suspension is to make it less likely for the base of the tongue to prolapse during sleep | Snoring and/or OSA                                                                                                       |
| Hypoglossal nerve stimulation (HNS) | Inspire II Upper Airway Stimulation | Stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve which contracts the tongue and some palatal tissue                                 | The device includes an implanted stimulator and a sensor implanted in the ribs to detect respiration.   | A subset of patients with moderate-to-severe OSA who have failed or cannot tolerate CPAP (see Regulatory Status section) |

CPAP: positive airway pressure; LAUP: laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RF: radiofrequency.

### *Comparators*

The following therapies and practices are currently being used to treat OSA:

For individuals with mild OSA who are intolerant of CPAP, the comparator would be oral appliances or an established upper airway surgical procedure.

For individuals with moderate-to-severe OSA who have failed CPAP or are intolerant of CPAP, the comparator would be conventional surgical procedures such as maxillofacial surgeries that may include UPPP, hyoid suspensions, maxillary and mandibular osteotomies, and modification of the tongue. UPPP may be modified or combined with a tongue base procedure such as UPPP, depending on the location of the obstruction. It is uncertain whether UPPP variants without tongue volume reduction are the most appropriate comparator for HNS, since the procedures may address different sources of obstruction.

### *Outcomes*

Established surgical procedures are associated with adverse events such as dysphagia. In addition, the surgical procedures are irreversible should an adverse event occur. Therefore, an improvement in effectiveness and/or a decrease in adverse events compared with standard surgical procedures would be the most important outcomes.

The outcome measures used to evaluate treatment success are a decrease in Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI) and Oxygen Desaturation Index on polysomnography (PSG) and improvement in a

measure of sleepiness such as the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) or Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) (see Table 4).

**Table 4. Health Outcome Measures Relevant to Obstructive Sleep Apnea**

| <b>Outcome</b>                                    | <b>Measure (Units)</b>                   | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>Clinically Meaningful Difference (If Known)</b>                                                                                            |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Change in AHI                                     | AHI                                      | Mean change in AHI from baseline to post-treatment                                                                                                                                                                   | Change from severe to moderate or mild OSA                                                                                                    |
| AHI Success                                       | Percentage of patients achieving success | Studies may use different definitions of success; the most common definition of AHI success is the Sher criteria                                                                                                     | Sher criteria is a decrease in AHI $\geq 50\%$ and an AHI $< 20$<br><br>Alternative measures of success may be AHI $< 15$ , $< 10$ , or $< 5$ |
| Oxygen Desaturation Index                         | Oxygen levels in blood during sleep      | The number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen level drops by $\geq 4$ percentage points                                                                                                                | More than 5 events per hour                                                                                                                   |
| Snoring                                           | 10-point visual analog score             | Filled out by the bed partner to assess snoring intensity or frequency                                                                                                                                               | There is no standard for a good outcome. Studies have used 50% decrease in VAS (4) or final VAS of $< 5$ or $< 3$ (5)                         |
| Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS)                    | Scale from 0 to 24                       | The ESS is a short, self-administered questionnaire that asks patients how likely they are to fall asleep in 8 different situations such as watching TV, sitting quietly in a car, or sitting and talking to someone | An ESS of $\geq 10$ is considered excessively sleepy. The MCID has been estimated at -2 to -3. (6)                                            |
| Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) | 30 questions                             | Disease-specific quality of life questionnaire that evaluates functional                                                                                                                                             | A score of $\geq 18$ is the threshold for normal sleep-related functioning, and a                                                             |

|        |                                   |                                                        |                                                                                                    |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        |                                   | status related to excessive sleepiness                 | change of $\geq 2$ points is considered to be a clinically meaningful improvement                  |
| OSA-18 | 18 item survey graded from 1 to 7 | Validated survey to assess quality of life in children | Change score of 0.5 to 0.9 is a small change, 1.0 to 1.4 a moderate change, and 1.5 a large change |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; MCID: minimum clinically important difference; OSA; obstructive sleep apnea; VAS: visual analog score.

The effect of surgical treatment of OSA should be observed on follow-up PSG that would be performed from weeks to months after the surgery. Longer-term follow-up over 2 years is also needed to determine whether the effects of the procedure are durable or change over time.

#### Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

#### Laser-Assisted Uvulopalatoplasty

LAUP is proposed as a treatment of snoring with or without associated OSA. LAUP cannot be considered an equivalent procedure to the standard UPPP, with the laser simply representing a surgical tool that the physician may opt to use. LAUP is considered a unique procedure, which raises its own issues of safety and, in particular, effectiveness.

One RCT (Ferguson et al. 2003) on LAUP has been identified. (7) This trial compared LAUP with no treatment, finding treatment success (AHI  $<10$ ) to be similar between LAUP (24%) and no treatment controls (17%) (see Tables 5 and 6). The primary benefit of LAUP was on snoring as rated by the bed partner. Subjective improvements in ESS and quality of life were not greater in the LAUP group in this nonblinded study. Adverse events of the treatment included moderate-to-severe pain and bleeding in the first week and difficulty swallowing at follow-up.

**Table 5. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Characteristics**

| Study | Countries | Sites | Participants | Interventions <sup>1</sup> |            |
|-------|-----------|-------|--------------|----------------------------|------------|
|       |           |       |              | Active                     | Comparator |
|       |           |       |              |                            |            |

|                            |        |   |                                                                                      |                                                        |                                   |
|----------------------------|--------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ferguson et al. (2003) (7) | Canada | 1 | 46 patients with mild-to-moderate symptomatic OSA (AHI of 10 to 25) and loud snoring | 21 patients treated with LAUP ever 1-2 mo <sup>1</sup> | 25 patients received no treatment |
|----------------------------|--------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; LAUP: laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea.

<sup>1</sup>The LAUP procedure was repeated at 1- to 2-month intervals until either the snoring was significantly reduced, no more tissue could safely be removed, or the patient refused further procedures. There was a mean of 2.4 procedures (range, 1-4).

**Table 6. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Results**

| Study                             | Treatment Success (AHI <10) | Change in Snoring (10-point VAS) | Change in ESS | Change in SAQLI Quality of Life | Moderate-to-Severe Pain in First Week | Bleeding in First Week | Difficulty Swallowing at Follow-up |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Ferguson et al. (2003) (7)</b> |                             |                                  |               |                                 |                                       |                        |                                    |
| N                                 | 45                          | 45                               | 45            | 45                              | 45                                    | 45                     | 45                                 |
| LAUP                              | 24%                         | -4.4                             | -1.4          | +0.4                            | 81%                                   | 19%                    | 19%                                |
| No treatment                      | 17%                         | -0.4                             | +0.8          | +0.2                            |                                       |                        |                                    |
| P                                 | NR                          | <0.001                           | NS            | NS                              |                                       |                        |                                    |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale (maximum of 24); LAUP: laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty; NS: not significant; NR: not reported; SAQLI: Sleep Apnea Quality of Life Index (maximum of 7); VAS: visual analog scale.

Study limitations are described in Tables 7 and 8. The major flaw is the uncertain clinical significance of the outcome measure.

**Table 7. Study Relevance Limitations**

| Study                      | Population <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                                       | Intervention <sup>b</sup> | Comparator <sup>c</sup>      | Outcomes <sup>d</sup>                                                                                                                     | Follow-Up <sup>e</sup> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ferguson et al. (2003) (7) | 1. Entry criteria includes populations with mild OSA (AHI between 10 and 15) for whom an improvement to AHI <10 is not clinically significant |                           | 3. Controls had no treatment | 6. The definition of success (AHI <10) combined with the eligibility criteria (AHI >10) can lead to clinically insignificant improvements |                        |

|  |  |  |  |                       |  |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
|  |  |  |  | being labeled success |  |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

**Table 8. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                      | Allocation <sup>a</sup> | Blinding <sup>b</sup> | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Completeness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup> | Statistical <sup>f</sup>                      |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Ferguson et al. (2003) (7) |                         | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                    | 4. Comparison of primary outcome not reported |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

<sup>d</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Intervention is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Intervention is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

#### Subsection Summary: Laser-Assisted Uvulopalatoplasty

A single RCT has been identified on LAUP for the treatment of mild-to-moderate OSA. LAUP improved snoring as reported by the bed partner but did not improve treatment success in terms of AHI when compared with no treatment controls. Patients in this nonblinded study did not report an improvement in ESS or quality of life after LAUP.

### Radiofrequency Volumetric Reduction of Palatal Tissues and Base of Tongue

RF is used to produce thermal lesions within the tissues rather than using a laser to ablate the tissue surface. In some situations, RF of the soft palate and base of tongue are performed together as a multilevel procedure.

#### *Randomized Controlled Trials*

Two RCTs have subsequently been identified on RF volumetric reduction of the palate and tongue. One of the trials (Bäck et al. 2009) gave a single RF treatment to palatal tissues and found no statistical difference in scores on the AHI, visual analog scale (VAS) for snoring, ESS, or FOSQ between RF and sham (see Tables 9 through 11). (8) The second trial (Woodson et al. 2003) provided a mean of 4.8 sessions of RF to the tongue and palate. This trial found a statistically significant improvement from baseline to post-treatment for ESS and FOSQ. (9) However, the improvement in the FOSQ score (1.2; standard deviation [SD], 1.6) was below the threshold of 2.0 for clinical significance and the final mean score in ESS was 9.8, just below the threshold for excessive sleepiness. AHI decreased by 4.5 events per hour, which was not statistically or clinically significant. The statistical significance of between-group differences was not reported (see Tables 10 and 12).

**Table 9. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Characteristics**

| Study                     | Countries | Sites | Participants                                                                                    | Interventions                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                           |           |       |                                                                                                 | Active                                                                            | Comparator                                                                                                                                              |
| Back et al. (2009) (8)    | Finland   | 1     | 32 patients with symptomatic mild OSA and habitual snoring with only velopharyngeal obstruction | Single-stage RF to palatal tissues                                                | Sham control with local anesthetic and multiple insertions of an applicator needle without the RF                                                       |
| Woodson et al. (2003) (9) | U.S.      | 2     | 90 patients with symptomatic mild-to-moderate OSA randomized to RF, sham, or CPAP               | 30 subjects received up to 7 sessions (mean, 4.8) of RF to tongue base and palate | 30 Subjects received sham procedure to tongue for 3 sessions, including local anesthetic and multiple insertions of an applicator needle without the RF |

CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RF: radiofrequency; U.S. United States.

**Table 10. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Results**

| Study                            | AHI                  | Snoring                      | ESS                     | Function                                                         | Adverse Events                                |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Median<br>(Range)    | Snoring<br>Median<br>(Range) | Median<br>(Range)       | Compound<br>end Point<br>Score <sup>a</sup><br>Median<br>(Range) |                                               |
| <b>Back et al. (2009) (8)</b>    |                      |                              |                         |                                                                  |                                               |
| N                                | 32                   | 30                           | 32                      | 32                                                               | 32                                            |
| RF                               | 13.0 (2.0-26.0)      | 5.0 (2.0-8.0)                | 7.0 (0-20.0)            | 6 (3-9)                                                          |                                               |
| Sham                             | 11.0 (1.0-29.0)      | 6.0 (3.0-8.0)                | 5.0 (2.0-15.0)          | 7 (4-10)                                                         |                                               |
| P                                | 0.628                | 0.064                        | 0.941                   | 0.746                                                            | No significant<br>differences<br>after 6 days |
|                                  | Change Score<br>(SD) |                              | Change Score<br>(SD)    | FOSQ Score<br>(SD)                                               |                                               |
| <b>Woodson et al. (2003) (9)</b> |                      |                              |                         |                                                                  |                                               |
| N                                | 52                   |                              | 54                      | 54                                                               | 54                                            |
| RF                               | -4.5 (13.8)          |                              | -2.1 (3.9) <sup>b</sup> | 1.2 (1.6) <sup>b</sup>                                           |                                               |
| Sham                             | -1.8 (11.5)          |                              | -1.0 (3.1)              | 0.4 (2.0)                                                        |                                               |
| Effect size <sup>c</sup>         | 0.34                 |                              | 0.50                    | 0.66                                                             | No significant<br>differences<br>after 1 week |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale (maximum of 24); FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire; MCS: Mental Component Summary score; PCS: Physical Component Summary score; RF: radiofrequency; SD: standard deviation; SF-36: 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey.

<sup>a</sup> The compound end point scored added points derived from AHI, ESS, SF-36 PCS, and SF-36 MCS;

<sup>b</sup> p=0.005 for baseline to posttreatment.

<sup>c</sup> Effect size=post-treatment mean-baseline mean.

Tables 11 and 12 display notable limitations identified in each study.

**Table 11. Study Relevance Limitations**

| Study                     | Population <sup>a</sup>                        | Intervention <sup>b</sup>    | Comparator <sup>c</sup> | Outcome <sup>d</sup> | Follow-up <sup>e</sup> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Back et al. (2009) (8)    | 4. Included patients with mild OSA and snoring | 4. Single treatment with RFA |                         |                      |                        |
| Woodson et al. (2003) (9) |                                                |                              |                         |                      |                        |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RFA: radiofrequency ablation.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

**Table 12. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                     | Allocation <sup>a</sup> | Blinding <sup>b</sup>                            | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Completeness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup> | Statistical <sup>f</sup>                      |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Back et al. (2009) (8)    |                         | 2. Surgeons also performed follow-up assessments |                                  |                                |                    |                                               |
| Woodson et al. (2003) (9) |                         |                                                  |                                  |                                |                    | 3. Comparative treatment effects not reported |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

<sup>d</sup> Data Completeness Key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Intervention is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Intervention is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

### *Observational Studies*

Herman et al. (2023) published a prospective, open-label, single-arm, nonrandomized trial that investigated multilevel RFA as an alternative therapy for patients with mild-to-moderate OSA (AHI 10 to 30) with intolerance or inadequate adherence to CPAP. (10) Patients were treated with 3 sessions of office-based RFA to the soft palate and tongue base. Of the 56 patients recruited for the study, 43 completed the protocol. Overall, 22/43 (51%) were considered complete responders with a  $\geq 50\%$  reduction in baseline AHI and an overall AHI  $< 20$  at study

completion. A statistically significant reduction in mean and median AHI was observed at 6 months follow-up ( $p=.001$  for both); the mean AHI decreased from 19.7 to 9.86 and the median AHI decreased from 17.8 to 7.5. Likewise, ODI scores were significantly reduced at 6 months follow-up; the mean ODI score decreased from 12.79 to 8.36 ( $p=.006$ ) and the median ODI score decreased from 11.65 to 6.23 ( $p=.008$ ).

#### Subsection Summary: Radiofrequency Volumetric Reduction of Palatal Tissues and Base of Tongue

The evidence on RF volume reduction includes 2 randomized trials, both sham-controlled, and a prospective, single-arm cohort study. Single-stage RF to palatal tissues did not improve outcomes compared with sham. Multiple sessions of RF to the palate and base of the tongue did not significantly (statistically or clinically) improve AHI, while the improvement in functional outcomes did not achieve a level of clinical significance. The prospective cohort study included 56 patients with mild-to-moderate OSA who received 3 sessions of office-based multilevel RFA. Results demonstrated improvement in AHI and ODI at the 6-month follow up.

#### Palatal Stiffening Procedures

Palatal stiffening procedures include insertion of palatal implants, injection of a sclerosing agent (snoreplasty), or a cautery-assisted palatal stiffening operation. Snoreplasty and cautery-assisted palatal stiffening operations are intended for snoring and are not discussed here. Palatal implants are cylindrically shaped devices that are implanted in the soft palate.

#### *Randomized Controlled Trials*

Two double-blind, sham-controlled randomized trials with over 50 patients have evaluated the efficacy of palatal implants to improve snoring and OSA (see Table 13). AHI success by the Sher criteria ranged from 26% to 45% at 3-month follow-up. AHI success was observed in 0% to 10% of the sham control patients (see Table 14). In 1 study (Steward et al. 2008), the statistical significance of AHI success was marginal, and there was no statistical difference in snoring or change in ESS between the 2 groups. (11) In the study by Friedman et al. (2008), there was greater success in AHI (45% vs 0%,  $p<.001$ ), improvement in snoring (-4.7 vs -0.7 on a 10-point VAS,  $p<.001$ ), and improvement in ESS (-2.4 vs -0.5,  $p<.001$ ) with palatal implants compared with sham controls. (4) Patient selection criteria were different in the 2 studies. In the trial by Friedman et al. (2008), patients with a Friedman tongue position of IV and palate of 3.5 cm or longer were excluded. In the trial by Steward et al. (2008), selection criteria included patients with primarily retropalatal pharyngeal obstruction.

**Table 13. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Characteristics**

| Study                      | Countries | Sites | Participants                                                                                     | Interventions                               |                                |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            |           |       |                                                                                                  | Active                                      | Comparator                     |
| Steward et al. (2008) (11) | U.S.      | 3     | 100 patients with mild-to-moderate OSA (AHI $\geq 5$ and $\leq 40$ ), and primarily retropalatal | 50 received the office-based insertion of 3 | 50 received the sham procedure |

|                            |      |   |                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                              |                                |
|----------------------------|------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            |      |   | pharyngeal obstruction, BMI $\leq 32$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>                                                                                                                              | palatal implants                                             |                                |
| Friedman et al. (2008) (4) | U.S. | 1 | 62 patients with mild-to-moderate OSA (AHI $\geq 5$ and $\leq 40$ ), soft palate $\geq 2$ cm and $< 3.5$ cm, Friedman tongue position I, II, or III, BMI $\leq 32$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 31 received the office-based insertion of 3 palatal implants | 31 received the sham procedure |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index, BMI: body mass index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea.

**Table 14. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Results**

| Study                             | AHI Success (Sher criteria) | Snoring (10-point VAS) | Change in ESS (95% CI) or (SD) | Change in FOSQ Score (95% CI) | Foreign Body sensation /Extrusion |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Steward et al. (2008) (11)</b> |                             |                        |                                |                               |                                   |
| N                                 | 97                          | 43                     | 96                             | 98                            | 100                               |
| Palatal implants                  | 26%                         | 6.7                    | -1.8 (-0.8 to -2.9)            | 1.43 (0.84 to 2.03)           | 18% / 4 extruded                  |
| Sham control                      | 10%                         | 7.0                    | -1.5 (-0.04 to -2.5)           | 0.6 (0.01 to 1.20)            | 2%                                |
| P                                 | 0.04                        | 0.052                  | NS                             | 0.05                          |                                   |
| <b>Friedman et al. (2008) (4)</b> |                             | Change in VAS          |                                |                               |                                   |
| N                                 | 55                          | 62                     | 62                             |                               |                                   |
| Palatal implants (SD)             | 44.8%                       | -4.7 (2.1)             | -2.4 (2.2)                     |                               | 2 extruded                        |
| Sham control (SD)                 | 0%                          | -0.7 (0.9)             | -0.5 (1.5)                     |                               |                                   |
| MD (95%CI)                        |                             | 4.0 (3.2 to 4.9)       | 1.9 (1.0 to 2.9)               |                               |                                   |
| p                                 | <0.001                      | <0.001                 | <0.001                         |                               |                                   |
| Summary: Range                    | 26% to 44.8%                |                        |                                |                               |                                   |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; CI: confidence interval; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire; MD: mean difference; NS: not significant; SD: standard deviation; VAS: visual analog scale.

### Case Series

Uncontrolled series have provided longer follow-up data on patients treated with palatal implants. Using criteria of 50% improvement in AHI and final AHI of less than 10 events hour, Neruntarat et al. (2011) reported a success rate of 52% at a minimum of 24 months (see Tables

15 and 16). (12) Compared with nonresponders, responders had lower body mass index (BMI), lower baseline AHI, and a lower percentage of patients with a modified Mallampati classification of III or IV (obscured visualization of the soft palate by the tongue). Tables 17 and 18 summarize the limitations of the case series and the RCTs described above.

**Table 15. Summary of Key Case Series Characteristics**

| Study                         | Country  | Participants                                                        | Follow-Up     |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Neruntarat et al. (2011) (12) | Thailand | 92 patients with mild-to-moderate symptomatic OSA and palate >2 cm. | Minimum 24 mo |

OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; mo: months.

**Table 16. Summary of Key Case Series Results**

| Study                                | N  | AHI (SD)   | Snoring (SD) (10-point VAS) | ESS (SD)   | Implant Extrusion |
|--------------------------------------|----|------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| <b>Neruntarat et al. (2011) (12)</b> | 92 |            |                             |            |                   |
| Baseline                             |    | 21.7 (6.8) | 8.2 (1.2)                   | 12.3 (2.6) |                   |
| 29 months                            |    | 10.8 (4.8) | 3.8 (2.3)                   | 7.9 (1.8)  | 7 (7.6%)          |
| P                                    |    | <0.001     | <0.001                      | <0.001     |                   |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; SD: standard deviation; VAS: visual analog scale.

**Table 17. Study Relevance Limitations**

| Study                         | Population <sup>a</sup>                                                                  | Intervention <sup>b</sup> | Comparator <sup>c</sup> | Outcomes <sup>d</sup> | Follow-Up <sup>e</sup> |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Neruntarat et al. (2011) (12) |                                                                                          |                           | 2. No comparator        |                       |                        |
| Steward et al. (2008) (11)    | 4. Out of 968 patients assessed for eligibility, 100 were enrolled                       |                           |                         |                       | 1, 2: 3 months         |
| Friedman et al. (2008) (4)    | 4. Number screened was not reported. Soft palate was at least 2 cm but less than 3.5 cm. |                           |                         |                       | 1, 2: 3 months         |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

**Table 18. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                         | Allocation <sup>a</sup> | Blinding <sup>b</sup> | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Complete-ness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup> | Statistical <sup>f</sup> |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Neruntarat et al. (2011) (12) | 1. Retrospective        | 1. None (case series) |                                  |                                 |                    |                          |
| Steward et al. (2008) (11)    |                         |                       |                                  |                                 |                    |                          |
| Friedman et al. (2008) (4)    |                         |                       |                                  |                                 |                    |                          |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

<sup>d</sup> Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Intervention is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Intervention is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

### **Subsection Summary: Palatal Stiffening Procedures**

Two sham-controlled trials and several case series have assessed palatal implants for the treatment of snoring and OSA. The sham-controlled studies differed in the inclusion criteria, with the study that excluded patients with Friedman tongue position of IV and palate of 3.5 cm or longer reporting greater improvement in AHI (45% success) and snoring (change of -4.7 on a 10-point VAS) than the second trial.

### Tongue Base Suspension

In this procedure, the base of the tongue is suspended with a suture that is passed through the tongue and fixated with a screw to the inner side of the mandible, below the tooth roots. The suspension aims to make it less likely for the base of the tongue to prolapse during sleep.

One preliminary RCT with 17 patients was identified that compared UPPP plus tongue suspension with UPPP plus tongue advancement (see Table 19). (14) Success rates using the Sher criteria ranged from 50% to 57% (see Table 20). Both treatments improved snoring and reduced ESS to below 10. The major limitations of the trial were the number of subjects (N=17) in this feasibility study and the lack of blinding (see Tables 21 and 22). In addition, there was no follow-up after 16 weeks.

**Table 19. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Characteristics**

| Study                     | Countries | Sites | Participants                                                              | Interventions                                                                                             |                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                           |           |       |                                                                           | Active                                                                                                    | Comparator                                                                                                   |
| Thomas et al. (2003) (14) | U.S.      | 1     | 17 Patients with moderate-to-severe OSA who failed conservative treatment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• UPPP with tongue suspension</li><li>• Mean AHI=46 (n=9)</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• UPPP with tongue advancement</li><li>• Mean AHI=37.4 (n=8)</li></ul> |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; UPPP: uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

**Table 20. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trial Results**

| Study                            | AHI Success (Sher Criteria) | Snoring (SD)           | ESS (SD)               | Pain, Speech, Swallowing                  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| <b>Thomas et al. (2003) (14)</b> |                             |                        |                        |                                           |
| N                                | 11                          | 17                     | 17                     | 17                                        |
| UPPP plus tongue suspension      | 57%                         | 3.3 (2.1) <sup>a</sup> | 4.1 (3.4) <sup>b</sup> |                                           |
| UPPP plus tongue advancement     | 50%                         | 5.0 (0.6) <sup>c</sup> | 5.4 (3.5) <sup>d</sup> | No significant differences between groups |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; SD: standard deviation; UPPP: uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

<sup>a</sup> Baseline to posttreatment p=0.02.

<sup>b</sup> Baseline to posttreatment p=0.007.

<sup>c</sup> Baseline to posttreatment p=0.04.

<sup>d</sup> Baseline to posttreatment p=0.004.

**Table 21. Study Relevance Limitations**

| Study | Population <sup>a</sup> | Intervention <sup>b</sup> | Comparator <sup>c</sup> | Outcomes <sup>d</sup> | Follow-Up <sup>e</sup> |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|

|                           |  |  |  |  |                                 |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Thomas et al. (2003) (14) |  |  |  |  | 1, 2. Follow-up was to 16 weeks |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

**Table 22. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                     | Allocation <sup>a</sup>           | Blinding <sup>b</sup> | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Completeness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup>   | Statistical <sup>f</sup>                        |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Thomas et al. (2003) (14) | 3. Allocation concealment unclear | 1-3. Not blinded      |                                  |                                | 1. Feasibility study | 2. Comparative treatment effects not calculated |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

<sup>d</sup> Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Intervention is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Intervention is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

### Subsection Summary: Tongue Base Suspension

One feasibility study with 17 patients was identified on tongue suspension. This study compared tongue suspension plus UPPP with tongue advancement plus UPPP and reported 50% to 57% success rates for the 2 procedures. Additional RCTs with a larger number of

subjects are needed to determine whether tongue suspension alone or added to UPPP improves the net health outcome.

Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation

Stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve causes tongue protrusion and stiffening of the anterior pharyngeal wall, potentially decreasing apneic events. For individuals with moderate-to-severe sleep apnea who have failed or are intolerant of CPAP, the alternative would be an established surgical procedure, as described above.

*Systematic Reviews*

A summary of systematic reviews is included in Tables 23 and 24.

Costantino et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 6- to 60-month outcomes following HNS. (15) They identified 12 studies with a total of 350 patients (median BMI, 29.8 [IQR, 28.8 to 31.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>] with OSA who were treated with the Inspire, ImThera, or Apnex HNS systems. The Inspire device contributed the largest number of patients to the meta-analysis. In addition to the trials described below by Steffen et al. (2015, 2018) (16, 17) and Strollo et al. (Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction [STAR] Trial, 2014, 2018) (18, 19) several other trials with the Inspire system were included in the meta-analysis. At the 6-month follow-up, the overall change in AHI was -17.74, with an improvement in ESS of -5.36. At the 12 mo follow-up, the change in AHI was -17.50 with an improvement in ESS of -5.27. Sixty-month data were provided only by the STAR trial as reported by Woodson et al. (2018) and are described below. (20)

Kim et al. (2023) compared HNS to other OSA treatments in a systematic review and meta-analysis. (21) A total of 10 studies with 2209 patients (mean BMI ≤30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in every study) who were treated with HNS or alternative interventions were included. HNS improved post-treatment AHI <10 and <15 events/hour compared with other surgical options including uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty, or tongue-based surgery (odds ratio [OR]; 5.33; 95% CI, 1.21 to 23.42). Other results are summarized in Table 24.

Alrubasy et al. (2024) published a meta-analysis that included 30 studies (26 single-arm and 4 RCTs) assessing the efficacy and safety of HNS devices—Inspire, Apnex, ImThera, and Genio—for treating OSA in adults intolerant to CPAP therapy. (22) The analysis showed that HNS significantly reduced AHI, ODI, and ESS scores, while improving FOSQ scores, with the Inspire device consistently demonstrating the most robust improvements across short- and long-term (i.e., <1 year vs >1 year) outcomes. The results of long-term outcomes are summarized in Table 24.

**Table 23. Meta-analysis Characteristics**

| Study                          | Dates        | Trials | Participants                               | N (Range)   | Design | Duration         |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------|
| Constantino et al. (2020) (15) | Through 2018 | 12     | Adult patients with moderate to severe OSA | 350 (8-124) | Cohort | 6, 12, and 60 mo |

|                             |                    |    |                                                                   |                |                         |                     |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Kim et al. (2023) (21)      | Through March 2023 | 10 | Adults with moderate to severe OSA with inadequate CPAP adherence | 2209 (23-698)  | RCT (n=2)/cohort (n=8)  | NR                  |
| Alrubasy et al. (2024) (22) | Through March 2024 | 30 | Adults with OSA and failed CPAP therapy                           | 822 (8 to 126) | RCT (n=4)/cohort (n=26) | 1 week to 60 months |

CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; mo: months; NR: not reported, OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

**Table 24. Meta-analysis Results**

| Study                                 | AHI Change at 6 mo (95% CI)            | AHI Change at 12 mo (95% CI)         | ESS Change at 6 mo (95% CI)            | ESS Change at 12 mo (95% CI) | AHI Success n (%) Sher Criteria <sup>a</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <b>Constantino et al. (2020) (15)</b> |                                        |                                      |                                        |                              |                                              |
| Total N                               | 210                                    | 255                                  | 210                                    | 255                          |                                              |
| Inspire                               | -17.74 (-24.73 to -10.74)              | -17.50 (-20.01 to -14.98)            | -5.36 (-6.64 to -4.08)                 | -5.27 (-6.18 to -4.35)       | 115 (70%)                                    |
| ImThera                               | -9.50 (-19.14 to 0.14)                 | -24.20 (-37.39 to -11.01)            | -3.70 (-5.65 to -1.75)                 | -2.90 (-6.97 to 1.17)        | 46 (35%)                                     |
| Apnex                                 | -24.20 (-30.94 to -17.45)              | -20.10 (-29.62 to -10.58)            | 3.87 (-5.53 to -2.21)                  | -4.20 (-6.30 to -2.10)       | 115 (59.8%)                                  |
| I <sup>2</sup> (p)                    | 68% (.004)                             | 0% (.77)                             | 25% (.25)                              | 27% (.24)                    |                                              |
| Range of N                            | 8 to 56                                | 13 to 124                            | 21 to 56                               | 13 to 124                    |                                              |
| <b>Kim et al. (2023) (21)</b>         |                                        |                                      |                                        |                              |                                              |
|                                       | <b>AHI MD (95% CI)</b>                 | <b>ESS MD (95% CI)</b>               | <b>ODI (95% CI)</b>                    |                              |                                              |
| HNS vs all other airway surgeries     | -8.0 (95% CI, -12.0344 to -3.9656)     | 0.3968 (95% CI, -1.5231 to 2.3167)   |                                        |                              |                                              |
| HNS vs no treatment                   | -12.8394 (95% CI, -16.1475 to -9.5312) | -5.3929 (95% CI, -6.6078 to -4.1781) | -11.8384 (95% CI, -17.4476 to -6.2292) |                              |                                              |
| HNS vs CPAP                           | 1.5000 (95% CI, -1.0145 to 4.0145)     | -1.8236 (95% CI, -4.5634 to 0.9163)  |                                        |                              |                                              |

| <b>Alrubasy et al. (2024) (22)</b> |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                               |  |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|
|                                    | <b>AHI long term<sup>b</sup> MD (95% CI)</b> | <b>ODI long term<sup>b</sup> MD (95% CI)</b> | <b>ESS long term<sup>b</sup> MD (95% CI)</b> | <b>FOSQ long term<sup>b</sup> MD (95% CI)</b> |  |
| Total N                            | 1109                                         | 892                                          | 1109                                         | 931                                           |  |
| Baseline vs post-HNS               | -15.60 (-21.72 to -9.48)                     | -12.75 (-18.91 to -6.58)                     | -4.86 (-5.42 to -4.29)                       | 3.28 (2.89 to 3.67)                           |  |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; CI: confidence interval; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; MD: mean difference; ODI: oxygen desaturation index.

<sup>a</sup> Surgical success according to Sher criteria is defined as a 50% reduction in AHI and overall AHI <20.

<sup>b</sup> Long-term outcomes were measured at >1 year interval.

Wollny et al. (2024) published an additional meta-analysis not mentioned in the tables that focused on the safety of HNS in patients with OSA. (23) A total of 17 studies (N=1962) were included. The findings showed that HNS has a very low pooled mortality rate of 0.01%, and no deaths related to the therapy. Over an average follow-up of 17.5 months, device survival at 60 months was high (98.34%). The most common reasons for device removal were infections and patient requests. Surgical revision was rare (0.08%), and the most frequently reported treatment-related side effects were also rare, including transient stimulation discomfort (0.08%) and tongue abrasions (0.07%).

### *Randomized Controlled Trials*

Several RCTs have been identified on the effect of HNS in patients with OSA. Study characteristics and a summary of results are described in Tables 25 and 26, respectively.

Schwartz et al. (2023) published results from the ImThera Medical Targeted Hypoglossal Neurostimulation Study #3 (THN3), which investigated the efficacy and safety of targeted HNS of the proximal hypoglossal nerve in patients with moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI 20-60 events per hour) and a BMI of 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or less. (24) This was a multicenter, randomized trial where all patients (N=138) were implanted with the HNS system (aura6000; ImThera Medical), and randomly assigned 2:1 to HNS device activation at 1 or 4 months after implant for the treatment and control groups, respectively. Efficacy was measured at month 4, as well as after 11 months of therapy (study months 12 and 15 for treatment and control groups, respectively). The study included mostly males (86.2%) and White individuals (91.3%). The results demonstrated that at month 4, the treatment group had significantly better outcomes compared to the control group for AHI and ODI scores. However, after 11 months of active therapy, the difference between the treatment and control groups was not statistically significant for AHI (RR, -7.5; 95% CI, -16 to 1.4) but remained significant for ODI (RR, 10.4; 95% CI, 1.6 to 18.8). The authors noted that the results should only be applied to patients with moderate-to-severe OSA and a BMI of 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or less.

Heiser et al. (2021) conducted The Effect of Upper Airway Stimulation in Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea (EFFECT) trial, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, crossover design study in adult patients with moderate-to-severe OSA (defined as AHI  $\geq 15$ ) who were intolerant to CPAP. (25) All individuals included in the study were White. All patients received implantation of HNS device (Inspire Medical Solutions) at least 6 months prior to enrollment. Baseline AHI before implantation was 32.2 events/h; after implantation, baseline AHI was approximately 8.3 events/h. All participants received therapeutic stimulation during the baseline visit. Patients were then randomized to 1 of 2 treatment groups: HNS-Sham (n=45) or Sham-HNS (n=44). After randomization, the HNS-Sham group received therapeutic stimulation and the Sham-HNS received sham stimulation for 1 week. During the second week, the HNS-Sham group received sham stimulation while the Sham-HNS group received therapeutic stimulation. Changes in AHI over time showed a statistically significant decrease in AHI with stimulation compared to sham stimulation during the baseline, week 1, and week 2 visits. This meant that during week 1 when the HNS-Sham group received stimulation, they had significantly lower AHI; during week 2, when the Sham-HNS group received stimulation, they had significantly lower AHI. Similarly, participants reported a lower ESS with stimulation compared to sham stimulation during all visits. The change of AHI and ESS from baseline to the 1-week and 2-week visits was analyzed between the groups and investigators found no evidence of a carryover effect for AHI or ESS.

Dedhia et al. (2024) conducted a double-blind, randomized, crossover study comparing cardiovascular outcomes in patients (N=60) with severe OSA who had an HNS device implanted. (26) Patients were randomized to a 4-week period of active HNS and a 4-week period of sham HNS. The primary endpoint was mean 24-hour systolic blood pressure. In patients with a BMI of 30 kg/2 or more, the decrease in SBP (+0.5 mmHg vs. -0.64 mmHg) and DBP (-0.17 mmHg vs. -0.25 mmHg) measurements were numerically smaller than those who had a lower BMI; however, the clinical importance of this is unclear).

**Table 25. Summary of Key RCT Characteristics**

| Study;<br>Trial                   | Countries                                      | Sites | Dates     | Participants                                                                                                       | Interventions                                                                             |                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                   |                                                |       |           |                                                                                                                    | Active                                                                                    | Comparator                                                                                 |
| Schwartz et al. (2023); (24) THN3 | US, Belgium, Israel, Germany, France, Portugal | 20    | 2015-2018 | Adults with moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI 20 to 65 events/hr), intolerant to CPAP; 91.3% of participants were White; | HNS (aura6000 device) starting at 1 month post implant with follow up at 12 months (n=92) | HNS (aura6000 device) starting at 4 months post implant with follow up at 15 months (n=46) |

|                                       |         |   |           |                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                          |                                                                                            |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                       |         |   |           | mean BMI, 29.84 kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD, 3.03)                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                            |
| Heiser et al. (2021); (25) EFFECT     | Germany | 3 | 2018-2019 | Adults with moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI ≥15), intolerant to CPAP; 100% of participants were White; mean BMI, 29.2 kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD, 4.4) | HNS (Inspire device) for week 1 followed by crossover to sham in week 2 (n=45)           | Sham stimulation for week 1 followed by crossover to HNS (Inspire device) in week 2 (n=44) |
| Dedhia et al. (2024) (26) CARDIOSA-12 | US      | 3 | 2018-2022 | Adults with severe OSA who had an HNS device; mean BMI, 28.7 kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD, 4.6)                                                      | HNS (Inspire device) for 4 weeks before crossover (n=29 received active treatment first) | Sham for 4 weeks (n=31 received sham first)                                                |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; hr: hour(s); OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation.

**Table 26. Summary of Key RCT Results**

| Study                                                    |                                                                          |                                                 |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                                          | <b>AHI response at month 4 (≥50% reduction to 20 or fewer events/hr)</b> | <b>ODI response at month 4 (≥25% reduction)</b> |  |
| <b>Schwartz et al. (2023); (24) THN3</b>                 | N=138                                                                    | N=138                                           |  |
| HNS therapy starting at 1-month post implant (treatment) | 72/138 (52.3%)                                                           | 86/138 (62.5%)                                  |  |

|                                                         |                                                     |                                   |                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HNS therapy starting at 4 months post-implant (control) | 27/138 (19.6%)                                      | 57/138 (41.3%)                    |                                                                         |
| RR (95% CI)                                             | 32.7 (15.2 to 49.0)                                 | 21.2 (3.3 to 38.1)                |                                                                         |
|                                                         | <b>AHI response after 1 week (AHI ≤15 events/h)</b> | <b>Change in ESS after 1 week</b> | <b>Overall change from baseline in FOSQ across treatment modalities</b> |
| <b>Heiser et al. (2021); (25) EFFECT</b>                | N=89                                                | N=89                              | N=86                                                                    |
| HNS                                                     | 73.3%                                               | 0.4 ± 2.3                         | 0.2 (-0.5 to 0.9)                                                       |
| Sham                                                    | 29.5%                                               | 5.0 ± 4.6                         | -1.9 (-2.6 to -1.2)                                                     |
| Difference (95% CI)                                     | 43.8% (25.1 to 62.5)                                | 4.6 (3.1 to 6.1)                  | 2.1 (1.4 to 2.8)                                                        |
| p-value                                                 | <.001                                               | .001                              | <.001                                                                   |
|                                                         | <b>AHI events per hour (SD)</b>                     | <b>24 hour SBP, mean (SD)</b>     | <b>24 hour DBP, mean (SD)</b>                                           |
| <b>Dedhia et al. (2024); (26) CARDIOSA-12</b>           |                                                     |                                   |                                                                         |
| HNS                                                     | 18.1 (14.8)                                         | 122.8 mmHg (11.8)                 | 71.9 mmHg (7.8)                                                         |
| Sham                                                    | 23.0 (15.6)                                         | 123.0 mmHg (10.8)                 | 72.1 mmHg (7.0)                                                         |
| Difference (95% CI)                                     | -4.9 (-8.8 to -1.0)                                 | -0.18 (-2.21 to 1.84)             | -0.22 (-1.27 to 0.83)                                                   |
| p-value                                                 | NR                                                  | NR                                | NR                                                                      |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; CI: confidence interval; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; HR: hazard ratio; NNT: number needed to treat; ODI: oxygen desaturation index; OR: odds ratio; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: relative risk.

Notable study limitations are described in Tables 27 and 28.

**Table 27. Study Relevance Limitations**

| <b>Study</b>                      | <b>Population<sup>a</sup></b>                                    | <b>Intervention<sup>b</sup></b> | <b>Comparator<sup>c</sup></b>                                      | <b>Outcomes<sup>d</sup></b> | <b>Duration of Follow-up<sup>e</sup></b> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Schwartz et al. (2023); (24) THN3 | 4. Study population was predominantly male and exclusively White |                                 | 2. Both groups received treatment but at different starting points |                             |                                          |
| Heiser et al. (2021); (25) EFFECT | 4. Study population was predominantly                            |                                 |                                                                    |                             | 1, 2. Limited follow-up period precluded |

|                                        |                                                      |  |  |                                                 |                                             |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                        | male and exclusively White                           |  |  |                                                 | long-term evaluation of safety and efficacy |
| Dedhia et al. (2024); (26) CARDIOSA-12 | 4. Study population was predominantly male and White |  |  | 1. Primary outcomes were cardiovascular focused | 1. Total duration of 10 weeks               |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Study population is unclear; 3. Study population not representative of intended use; 4. Enrolled populations do not reflect relevant diversity; 5. Other.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest (e.g., proposed as an adjunct but not tested as such); 5: Other.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively; 5. Other.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. Incomplete reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported; 7. Other.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms; 3. Other.

**Table 28. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                             | Allocation <sup>a</sup> | Blinding <sup>b</sup>                                                                                                            | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Completeness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup> | Statistical <sup>f</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Schwartz et al. (2023) (24)       |                         | 1. Open-label trial                                                                                                              |                                  |                                |                    |                          |
| Heiser et al. (2021); (25) EFFECT |                         | 4. Most participants randomized to sham stimulation became aware of the group allocation, possibly impacting subjective outcomes |                                  |                                |                    |                          |
| Dedhia et al. (2024);             |                         |                                                                                                                                  |                                  |                                |                    |                          |

|                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (26)<br>CARDIOSA-<br>12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias; 5. Other.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Participants or study staff not blinded; 2. Outcome assessors not blinded; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician; 4. Other.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication; 4. Other.

<sup>d</sup> Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials); 7. Other.

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference; 4. Other.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated; 5. Other.

### *Comparative Studies*

Study characteristics and results are described in Tables 29 and 30. Limitations in relevance and design and conduct, including comparative studies and 2 single-arm studies, are described in Tables 31 and 32.

Besides the RCT described above, comparative evidence consists of 3 studies that compared HNS with historical controls treated with UPPP or a variant of UPPP (expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty) and a study that compared HNS with transoral robotic surgery. AHI success by the Sher criteria ranged from 87% to 100% in the HNS groups compared with 40% to 64% in the UPPP groups. Post-treatment ESS was below 10 in both groups. It is not clear from some studies whether the patients in the historical control group were similar to the subset of patients in the HNS group, particularly in regard to the pattern of palatal collapse and from patients who did not return for postoperative PSG.

Several comparative studies have addressed these concerns by only including patients who meet the criteria for HNS in the control group. Yu et al. (2019) compared outcomes for patients who met the criteria for both HNS (non-concentric collapse on drug-induced sleep endoscopy) and transoral robotic surgery (retroglossal obstruction). (27) When patients with similar anatomic criteria were compared, HNS led to significantly better improvements in AHI, cure rate (defined as AHI <5), and the percentage of time that oxygen saturation fell below 90%. Huntley et al. (2021) selected patients in the control group who met the criteria for HNS (non-concentric collapse on drug-induced sleep endoscopy and BMI criteria) but had been treated at their institutions by single or multi-level palatal and lingual surgery. (28) There was no explanation of why the different treatments were given during the overlap period of 2010 to 2019, but the HNS patients were older and heavier. HNS resulted in a modestly greater

decrease in AHI (HNS: -21.4 vs -15.9.  $p < .001$ ), but not in ESS (HNS: -4.7 vs -5.8,  $p = .06$ ). More patients in the HNS group achieved success by the Sher criteria (70% vs 48 to 49%) suggesting that there might be a clinical benefit for some patients.

Another report from Adherence and Outcome of Upper Airway Stimulation for OSA International Registry (ADHERE) registry investigators (Mehra et al. 2020) compared outcomes from HNS patients with patients who met the criteria but had been denied insurance coverage. (29) In a post-hoc multivariate analysis, previous use of PAP and prior surgical procedures were predictors of insurance approval. In the group of patients who received HNS, the average use downloaded from the device was 5.6 h/night and 92% of patients had usage greater than 20 h/week. A majority of the comparator group (86%) were not using any therapy at follow-up. The remaining 14% were using PAP, an oral appliance, or underwent OSA surgery. The AHI decreased to 15 events/h (moderate OSA) on the night of the sleep test in patients with HNS, with only a modest improvement in patients who did not receive HNS. The hours of use on the night of the post-operative sleep study were not reported, and the HNS patients may have been more likely to use their device on the test night. In addition, the use of a home sleep test for follow-up may underestimate the AHI. The ESS improved in the HNS group but worsened in the controls. This suggests the possibility of bias in this subjective measure in patients who were denied coverage.

Additional non-comparative reports from the ADHERE registry are described below.

**Table 29. Summary of Observational Comparative Study Characteristics**

| Study                      | Study type                                     | Country | Dates                                        | Participants                                                                                                                            | HNS                                                 | Tradition-<br>al surgery                                | Follow<br>Up          |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Shah et al. (2018) (30)    | Retro-spective series with historical controls | U.S.    | HNS 2015-2016<br><br>UPPP 2003-2012          | 40 OSA patients with AHI >20 and <65, BMI $\leq 32$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> , failed CPAP, favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup> | 35% had previous -ly had surgery for OSA            | UPPP 50% of patients had additional surgical procedures | 2-13 months           |
| Huntley et al. (2018) (31) | Retro-spective series with historical controls | U.S.    | HNS 2014-2016<br><br>Modified UPPP 2011-2016 | Retro-spective review included treated patients who had a post-operative PSG                                                            | 75 patients age 61.67 y with a favorable pattern of | 33 patients age 43.48 y treated by ESP                  | To post-operative PSG |

|                            |                                                     |          |                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                                                                  |                                              |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                            |                                                     |          |                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                 | palatal collapse                                                |                                                                                                                  |                                              |
| Yu et al. (2019) (27)      | Retro-spective series with historical controls      | U.S.     | HNS 2014-2016<br><br>TORS 2011-NR                                                                    | OSA patients with AHI >20 and <65, BMI ≤32 kg mg/m <sup>2</sup> , failed CPAP, favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup>               | 27 patients age 62 with Retro-glossal collapse amenable to TORS | 20 patients age 53 y who would have qualified for HNS and were treated by TORS                                   | NR                                           |
| Huntley et al. (2020) (28) | ADHERE registry compared to retro-spective controls | U.S., EU | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HNS 2010-2019</li> <li>• Modified UPPP 2003-2019</li> </ul> | OSA patients who were intolerant to CPAP and met HNS criteria of AHI 15 to 65, BMI ≤ 35, and favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup> | 465 registry patients treated with HNS who had 12 mo follow-up  | 233 patients who would have qualified for HNS and were treated by single level (68%) or multilevel (31%) surgery | 173 days after surgery<br>383 days after HNS |
| Mehra et al. (2020) (29)   | ADHERE registry                                     | U.S., EU | 2017-2019                                                                                            | OSA patients who were intolerant to CPAP and met HNS criteria of AHI 15 to 65, BMI ≤ 35, and favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup> | 250 registry patients treated with HNS                          | 100 patients who qualified for HNS but were denied insurance coverage                                            | 6 to 24 months                               |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; BMI: body mass index; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; ESP: expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; NR: not reported; OSA:

obstructive sleep apnea; PSG: polysomnography; TORS: transoral robotic surgery; UPPP: uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

<sup>a</sup> A favorable pattern of palatal collapse is not concentric retropalatal obstruction on drug-induced sleep endoscopy.

**Table 30. Summary of Key Observational Comparative Study Results**

| Study                             | Baseline AHI (SD) | Post-treatment AHI (SD)  | AHI Success n (%)<br>Sher Criteria | Baseline ESS (SD)               | Post-treatment ESS (SD) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Shah et al. (2018) (30)</b>    |                   |                          |                                    |                                 |                         |
| HNS                               | 38.9 (12.5)       | 4.5 (4.8) <sup>b</sup>   | 20 (100%)                          | 13 (4.7)                        | 8 (5.0) <sup>b</sup>    |
| UPPP                              | 40.3 (12.4)       | 28.8 (25.4) <sup>a</sup> | 8 (40%)                            | 11 (4.9)                        | 7 (3.4) <sup>b</sup>    |
| <b>Huntley et al. (2018) (31)</b> |                   |                          |                                    |                                 |                         |
| HNS                               | 36.8 (20.7)       | 7.3 (11.2)               | 86.7                               | 11.2 (4.2)                      | 5.4 (3.4)               |
| ESP                               | 26.7 (20.3)       | 13.5 (19.0)              | 63.6                               | 10.7 (4.5)                      | 7.0 (6.0)               |
| p-value                           | 0.003             | 0.003                    | 0.008                              | 0.565                           | NS                      |
| <b>Yu et al. (2018) (27)</b>      |                   |                          |                                    |                                 |                         |
|                                   |                   | Average AHI Reduction    | % Cure Rate                        | Change in SaO <sub>2</sub> <90% |                         |
| HNS                               |                   | 33.3                     | 70.4%                              | 14.1                            |                         |
| TORS                              |                   | 12.7                     | 10.0%                              | 1.3                             |                         |
| p-value                           |                   | 0.002                    | <0.001                             | 0.02                            |                         |
| <b>Huntley et al. (2020) (28)</b> |                   |                          |                                    |                                 |                         |
| HNS                               | 35.5 (15.0)       | 14.1 (14.4)              | 70                                 | 11.9 (5.5)                      | 7.3 (4.7)               |
| Single or multi-level UPPP        | 35.0 (13.1)       | 19.3 (16.3)              | 48 to 49                           | 11.3 (5.1)                      | 5.9 (4.0)               |
| p-Value                           | 0.88              | <0.001                   | <0.001                             | 0.22                            | 0.06                    |
| <b>Mehra et al. (2020) (29)</b>   |                   |                          |                                    |                                 |                         |
| HNS                               | 33.7 (13.4)       | 14.7 (13.8)              |                                    | 12.3 (5.5)                      | 7.2 (4.8)               |
| No HNS                            | 34.9 (16.4)       | 26.8 (17.6)              |                                    | 10.9 (5.4)                      | 12.8 (5.2)              |
| p-Value                           | 0.95              | <0.001                   |                                    | 0.06                            | <0.001                  |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESP: expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Score; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; NS: not significant; Sher criteria: 50% decrease in AHI and final AHI <20; SD: standard deviation; SaO<sub>2</sub>: oxygen saturation; TORS: transoral robotic surgery; UPPP: uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

<sup>a</sup> Baseline vs posttreatment p<0.05.

<sup>b</sup> Baseline vs posttreatment p<0.001.

**Table 31. Study Relevance Limitations**

| Study | Population <sup>a</sup> | Intervention <sup>b</sup> | Comparator <sup>c</sup> | Out-comes <sup>d</sup> | Follow-Up <sup>e</sup> |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|       |                         |                           |                         |                        |                        |

|                            |                                     |  |                                                                                                          |  |                                                                                          |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shah et al. (2018) (30)    |                                     |  | 2. UPPP may not be preferred treatment for patients with primarily lingual obstruction                   |  |                                                                                          |
| Huntley et al. (2018) (31) | 4. Study populations not comparable |  | 1. Not clearly defined, few ESP patients had follow-up PSG                                               |  |                                                                                          |
| Yu et al. (2018) (27)      |                                     |  |                                                                                                          |  | 1,2. Duration of follow-up unclear                                                       |
| Huntley et al. (2020) (28) | 4. Study populations not comparable |  |                                                                                                          |  | 1. The timing of follow-up was different (173 days after surgery and 383 days after HNS) |
| Mehra et al. (2020) (29)   | 4. Study populations not comparable |  | 3. Hours of use on the test night was not reported. This may not represent the normal use of the device. |  | 1. The timing of follow-up was different                                                 |
| Steffen et al. (2018) (16) |                                     |  | 2. No comparator                                                                                         |  |                                                                                          |
| STAR trial (18, 19, 32-35) |                                     |  | 2. No comparator                                                                                         |  |                                                                                          |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

ESP: expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty; HNS: hypoglossal nerve stimulation; PSG: polysomnography; STAR: Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction; UPPP: uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

**Table 32. Study Design and Conduct Limitations**

| Study                      | Allocation <sup>a</sup>                                                       | Blinding <sup>b</sup> | Selective Reporting <sup>c</sup> | Data Completeness <sup>d</sup> | Power <sup>e</sup>                 | Statistical <sup>f</sup>                        |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Shah et al. (2018) (30)    | 1. Not randomized (retrospective)<br>4. Inadequate control for selection bias | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                                    | 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated |
| Huntley et al. (2018) (31) | 1. Not randomized (retrospective)                                             | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                                    |                                                 |
| Yu et al. (2018) (27)      | 1. Not randomized (retrospective)                                             |                       |                                  |                                |                                    |                                                 |
| Huntley et al. (2020) (28) | 1. Not randomized (retrospective)                                             | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                                    |                                                 |
| Mehra et al. (2020) (29)   | 1. Not randomized                                                             | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                | 1. Power calculations not reported |                                                 |
| Steffen et al. (2018) (16) | 1. Not randomized                                                             | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                                    |                                                 |
| STAR trial (18, 19, 32-35) | 1. Not randomized                                                             | 1-3. No blinding      |                                  |                                |                                    |                                                 |

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

STAR: Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

<sup>d</sup> Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Intervention is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Intervention is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

### *Single-Arm Studies*

Characteristics and results of single-arm studies are described in Tables 33 to 35. Limitations are mentioned in Tables 31 and 32, above.

Results of prospective single-arm studies show AHI success rates in 66% to 68% of patients who had moderate-to-severe sleep apnea and a favorable pattern of palatal collapse. Mean AHI was 31 to 32 at baseline, decreasing to 14 to 15 at 12 months. ESS scores decreased from 6.5 to 7.0. All improvements were maintained through 5 years of follow-up. Discomfort due to the electrical stimulation and tongue abrasion were initially common but were decreased when stimulation levels were reduced (see Table 35). In the post-market study, a normal ESS score ( $\leq 10$ ) was obtained in 73% of patients. A FOSQ score of at least 19 was observed in 59% of patients compared to 13% at baseline. At the 12-month follow-up, 8% of bed partners regularly left the room due to snoring, compared to 75% of bed partners at baseline. The average use was  $5.6 \pm 2.1$  hours per night. Use was correlated with the subjective outcomes but not with AHI response. Two- and 3-year follow-ups of this study were reported by Steffen et al. (2020) (17), but the percentage of patients at follow-up was only 68% at 2 years and 63% at 3 years, limiting conclusions about the longer-term efficacy of the procedure. A comparison of the populations who had 12-month versus 2- or 3-year results showed several differences between the patients who followed up and those who dropped out, including higher baseline AHI, higher baseline Oxygen Desaturation Index (ODI), and trends towards lower usage per night and a lower responder rate at 12 months.

**Table 33. Summary of Prospective Single-Arm Study Characteristics**

| Study                               | Country  | Participants                                                                | Treatment Delivery                            | Follow-Up |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| STAR trial (18, 19, 32, 33, 36, 20) | EU, U.S. | 126 Patients with AHI >20 and <50, BMI $\leq 32$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> , failed | Stimulation parameters titrated with full PSG | 5 years   |

|                                                                                                                                                    |                    |                                                                                                                                                                     |  |                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                    |                    | CPAP, favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                            |  |                                 |
| Postmarket studies:<br>Heiser et al. (2017) (37),<br>Steffen et al. (2018) (16),<br>Hasselbacher et al. (2018) (38),<br>Steffen et al. (2020) (17) | 3 sites in Germany | 60 patients with AHI $\geq 15$ and $\leq 65$ on home sleep study, BMI $\leq 35$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> , failed CPAP; favorable pattern of palatal collapse <sup>a</sup> |  | 12 months, 2 years, and 3 years |

AHI: apnea/hypopnea index; BMI: body mass index; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; EU: European Union; PSG: polysomnography; U.S.: United States; STAR: Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction.

<sup>a</sup> A favorable pattern of palatal collapse is non-concentric retropalatal obstruction on drug-induced sleep endoscopy.

**Table 34. Summary of Prospective Single-Arm Study Results**

| Study                                                                                                                                         | N                | Percent of Patients With AHI Success (Sher Criteria) | Mean AHI Score (SD)         | Mean ODI Score (SD)         | FOSQ Score (SD)         | ESS Score (SD)         |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>STAR trial (18, 19, 32, 33, 36, 20)</b>                                                                                                    |                  |                                                      |                             |                             |                         |                        |
| Baseline                                                                                                                                      | 126              |                                                      | 32.0 (11.8)                 | 28.9 (12.0)                 | 14.3 (3.2)              | 11.6 (5.0)             |
| 12 months                                                                                                                                     | 124              | 66%                                                  | 15.3 (16.1)<br><sub>d</sub> | 13.9 (15.7)<br><sub>d</sub> | 17.3 (2.9) <sup>d</sup> | 7.0 (4.2) <sup>d</sup> |
| 3 years                                                                                                                                       | 116 <sup>a</sup> | 65%                                                  | 14.2 (15.9)                 | 9.1 (11.7)                  | 17.4 (3.5) <sup>b</sup> | 7.0 (5.0) <sup>b</sup> |
| 5 years                                                                                                                                       | 97 <sup>c</sup>  | 63%                                                  | 12.4 (16.3)                 | 9.9 (14.5)                  | 18.0 (2.2)              | 6.9 (4.7)              |
| <b>Postmarket studies: Heiser et al. (2017) (37), Steffen et al. (2018) (16), Hasselbacher et al. (2018) (38), Steffen et al. (2020) (17)</b> |                  |                                                      |                             |                             |                         |                        |
| Baseline                                                                                                                                      | 60               |                                                      | 31.2 (13.2)                 | 27.6 (16.4)                 | 13.7 (3.6)              | 12.8 (5.3)             |
| 6 months                                                                                                                                      |                  |                                                      |                             |                             | 17.5 (2.8) <sup>d</sup> | 7.0 (4.5) <sup>d</sup> |
| 12 months                                                                                                                                     | 56 <sup>f</sup>  | 68%                                                  | 13.8 (14.8)<br><sub>e</sub> | 13.7 (14.9)<br><sub>e</sub> | 17.5 (3) <sup>e</sup>   | 6.5 (4.5) <sup>e</sup> |
| Normalized at 12 months                                                                                                                       |                  |                                                      |                             |                             | 59%                     | 73%                    |

AHI: Apnea/Hypopnea Index; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire; ODI: Oxygen Desaturation Index; PSG: polysomnography; SD: standard deviation; STAR: Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction.

<sup>a</sup> Ninety-eight participants agreed to undergo PSG at 36 months, of the 17 participants who did not undergo PSG at 36 months, 54% were nonresponders and their PSG results at 12 or 18 months were carried forward.

<sup>b</sup> The change from baseline was significant at  $p < .001$ .

<sup>c</sup> Seventy-one participants agreed to a PSG.

<sup>d</sup>  $p < .001$ .

<sup>e</sup>  $p < .05$ .

<sup>f</sup> Four patients lost to follow-up were analyzed as treatment failures.

**Table 35. Device-Related Adverse Events From Prospective Single-Arm Studies**

| Study                                 | N   | Discomfort due to Electrical Stimulation <sup>a</sup> | Tongue Abrasion | Dry Mouth | Mechanical Pain From Device | Internal Device Usability | External Device Usability |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>STAR trial (20)</b>                |     |                                                       |                 |           |                             |                           |                           |
| 0 to 12 months                        | 126 | 81                                                    | 28              | 10        | 7                           | 12                        | 11                        |
| 12 to 24 months                       | 124 | 23                                                    | 12              | 5         | 2                           | 8                         | 11                        |
| 24 to 36 months                       | 116 | 26                                                    | 4               | 2         | 3                           | 1                         | 8                         |
| 36 to 48 months                       | 97  | 7                                                     | 3               | 0         | 1                           | 3                         | 9                         |
| >48 months                            |     | 5                                                     | 3               | 3         | 1                           | 1                         | 6                         |
| Participants with event, n of 126 (%) |     | 76 (60.3)                                             | 34 (27.0)       | 19 (15.1) | 14 (11.1)                   | 21 (16.7)                 | 33 (26.2)                 |

N: number; STAR: Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction.

<sup>a</sup> Stimulation levels were adjusted to reduce discomfort.

### Down Syndrome

Liu et al. (2022) published a systematic review investigating HNS in adolescents with Down Syndrome and OSA. (39) A total of 9 studies were included with a follow up period ranging from 2 to 58 months; 6 studies had sample sizes of fewer than 10 patients. The largest of the included studies was a prospective cohort study published by Yu et al. (2022), which is summarized below. In an analysis that included 104 patients, AHI scores were significantly reduced in patients after HNS (mean AHI reduction, 17.43 events/h; 95% CI, 13.98 to 20.88 events/h;  $p < .001$ ). Similarly, in an analysis that included 88 patients, OSA-18 survey scores were significantly reduced after HNS (mean OSA-18 reduction, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.27 to 2.08;  $p < .001$ ).

Yu et al. (2022) reported on the safety and effectiveness of HNS in 42 adolescents with Down Syndrome and severe OSA (AHI of 10 events/h or greater). (40) This was a single-group, multicenter, cohort study with a 1-year follow-up that included non-obese (BMI <95%) children and adolescents aged 10 to 21 years who were refractory to adenotonsillectomy and unable to

tolerate CPAP. Patients who were included had an AHI between 10 and 50 on baseline PSG; the mean baseline AHI was 23.5 (SD, 9.7). All patients included tolerated HNS without any intraoperative complications. The most common complication was tongue or oral discomfort or pain, which occurred in 5 (11.9%) patients and was temporary, lasting weeks or, rarely, months. Four patients (9.5%) had device extrusion, resulting in readmissions to replace the extruded device. At 12 months, there was a mean decrease in AHI of 12.9 (SD, 13.2) events per hour (95% CI, -17.0 to -8.7 events/h). At the 12-month PSG, 30 of 41 patients (73.2%) had an AHI of less than 10 events/h, 14/41 patients (34.1%) had an AHI of less than 5 events/h, and 3/41 patients (7.3%) had an AHI of less than 2 events/h. There was also a significant improvement in quality of life outcomes. The mean improvement in the OSA-18 total score was 34.8 (SD, 20.3; 95% CI, -42.1 to -27.5), and the ESS improved by 5.1 (SD, 6.9; 95% CI, -7.4 to -2.8).

### *Registry*

Boon et al. (2018) reported results from 301 patients in the multicenter Adherence and Outcome of Upper Airway Stimulation for OSA International Registry (ADHERE). (41) The ADHERE registry included both retrospective and prospectively collected data from the U.S. and Germany between October 2016 and September 2017. Data were collected from PSG prior to implantation and between 2 and 6 months after implantation or from home sleep tests, which were often performed at 6 and 12 months after implantation as part of routine care. Mean AHI decreased from 35.6 (SD, 15.3) to 10.2 (SD, 12.9) post-titration with 48% of patients achieving an AHI of 5 or less. ESS decreased from 11.9 (5.5) to 7.5 (4.7) ( $p < .001$ ).

Kent et al. (2019) pooled data from the ADHERE registry plus data from 3 other studies to evaluate factors predicting success. (42) Over 80% of the 584 patients were men, and most were overweight. Seventy-seven percent of patients achieved treatment success, defined as a decrease in AHI by at least 50% and below 20 events/per hour. AHI decreased to below 5 in 41.8% of patients. Greater efficacy was observed in patients with a higher preoperative AHI, older patient age, and lower BMI. A report of data from the ADHERE registry by Thaler et al. (2020) included 640 patients with a 6-month follow-up and 382 with a 12-month follow-up. (43) AHI was reduced from 35.8 at baseline to 14.2 at 12 months ( $p < .001$ ), although the number of hours of use during the sleep test was not reported, and home sleep studies may underestimate AHI. ESS was reduced from 11.4 at baseline to 7.2 at 12 months ( $p < .001$ ), and patient satisfaction was high. In a multivariate model, only female sex (OR, 3.634;  $p = .004$ ) and lower BMI (OR, 0.913;  $p = .011$ ) were significant predictors of response according to the Sher criteria. In sensitivity analysis, higher baseline AHI was also found to be a negative predictor of success.

Suurna et al. (2021) evaluated the impact of BMI on HNS using the ADHERE registry (N=1849). (44) The mean BMI of all patients in the registry was 29.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. All patients had a BMI of 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or lower and were categorized as those with BMI of 32 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or less and those with a BMI greater than 32 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and less than or equal to 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. At 12 months, both groups had reduced AHI events/hour compared with baseline, although the mean change was greater in the lower BMI group (-21.4) compared with the higher BMI group (-20.3; mean difference 1.05

with the upper 97.5% CI at 4.5 which fell within the noninferiority margin). The difference in ESS scores between groups was also noninferior.

In a retrospective analysis by Huntley et al. (2018) of procedures at 2 academic institutions, patients with a BMI of greater than 32 did not have lower success rates than patients with a BMI less than 32. (45) However, only patients who had palpable cervical landmarks and carried most of their weight in the waist and hips were offered HNS. Therefore, findings from this study are limited to this select group of patients with BMI greater than 32.

Patel et al. (2024) conducted a retrospective cohort study at a single academic institution evaluating the effects of BMI on response to HNS. (46) A total of 76 patients with an average age of 61 years and a median BMI of 28.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were identified. Patients with a BMI of 32 to 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> had 75% lower odds of a response to HNS (OR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.07 to 0.90). Further analysis revealed an approximate 17% decrease in odds of being a responder for each 1 unit BMI increase.

#### Section Summary: Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation

The evidence on HNS for the treatment of OSA includes systematic reviews, 3 RCTs, nonrandomized prospective studies, nonrandomized studies with historical controls, and prospective single-arm studies. An RCT of 89 adults with moderate-to-severe OSA who did not tolerate CPAP found significant short-term improvement in AHI, ESS, and quality of life measures with HNS compared to sham stimulation. The study was limited by a short duration of follow-up and the lack of diverse individuals included in the trial. Another RCT including 138 patients with moderate-to-severe OSA who did not tolerate CPAP compared outcomes for patients who received HNS therapy at 1 or 4 months after implant for the treatment and control groups, respectively. Results demonstrated significant short-term improvement in AHI and ODI when comparing HNS to no HNS at month 4. However, after 11 months of active therapy, the difference between the treatment and control groups was not statistically significant for AHI, but remained significant for ODI in favor of the treatment group. This trial was also limited by a lack of diverse individuals, as well as a lack of a true control group for long-term outcomes. In nonrandomized studies, about two-thirds of patients with moderate-to-severe OSA who had failed conservative therapy (CPAP) and had a favorable pattern of palatal collapse met the study definition of success. Results observed at the 12-month follow-up were maintained at 5 years in the pivotal study. A prospective study that compared outcomes in patients who had received HNS to patients who were denied insurance coverage reported significant differences in both objective and subjective measures of OSA. However, there is a high potential for performance bias in this non-blinded study. For children and adolescents with OSA and Down Syndrome who are unable to tolerate CPAP, the evidence includes a systematic review and a prospective study of 42 individuals. The systematic review investigated HNS in adolescents with Down Syndrome and OSA, and demonstrated significant improvement in AHI and OSA-18 after HNS. The study of 42 individuals with Down Syndrome and OSA found a success rate of 73.2% with 4 device extrusions corrected with replacement surgery. The efficacy of HNS in obese patients is limited with recent clinical trials only enrolling patients who have a BMI of 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or lower.

## Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) who receive laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty, the evidence includes a single randomized controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The trial indicates reductions in snoring, but limited efficacy on the Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI) or symptoms in patients with mild-to-moderate OSA. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OSA who receive radiofrequency volumetric reduction of palatal tissues and base of tongue, the evidence includes 2 sham-controlled randomized trials and a prospective, single-arm cohort study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Single-stage radiofrequency to palatal tissues did not improve outcomes compared with sham. Multiple sessions of radiofrequency to the palate and base of tongue did not significantly (statistically or clinically) improve AHI, and the improvement in functional outcomes was not clinically significant. The prospective cohort study included 56 patients with mild-to-moderate OSA who received 3 sessions of office-based multilevel RFA. Results demonstrated improvement in AHI and Oxygen Desaturation Index (ODI) at the 6-month follow up. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OSA who receive palatal stiffening procedures, the evidence includes 2 sham-controlled randomized trials and several case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The 2 RCTs differed in their inclusion criteria, with the study that excluded patients with Friedman tongue position of IV and palate of 3.5 cm or longer reporting greater improvement in AHI (45% success) and snoring (change of -4.7 on a 10-point visual analog scale) than the second trial. Additional studies are needed to corroborate the results of the more successful trial and, if successful, define the appropriate selection criteria. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OSA who receive tongue base suspension, the evidence includes a feasibility RCT with 17 patients. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The single RCT compared tongue suspension plus uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) with tongue advancement plus UPPP and showed success rates of 50% to 57% for both procedures. Additional RCTs with a larger number of subjects are needed to determine whether tongue suspension alone or added to UPPP improves the net health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OSA who receive hypoglossal nerve stimulation (HNS), the evidence includes systematic reviews, 3 RCTs, nonrandomized prospective studies, nonrandomized studies with historical controls, and prospective single-arm studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. A double-

blind, multicenter RCT of 89 adults with moderate-to-severe OSA who did not tolerate continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) found significant short-term improvement in AHI, Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS), and quality of life measures with HNS compared to sham stimulation. The study was limited by a short duration of follow-up and lack of diversity amongst included participants. Another RCT including 138 patients with moderate-to-severe OSA who did not tolerate CPAP compared outcomes for patients who received HNS therapy at 1 or 4 months after implant for the treatment and control groups, respectively. Results demonstrated significant short-term improvement in AHI and ODI when comparing HNS to no HNS at month 4. However, after 11 months of active therapy, the difference between the treatment and control groups was not statistically significant for AHI, but remained significant for ODI in favor of the treatment group. This trial was also limited by a lack of diverse individuals, as well as a lack of a true control group for long-term outcomes. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation has shown success rates for about two-thirds of a subset of patients who met selection criteria that included AHI, BMI ( $\leq 32$  or  $\leq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and favorable pattern of palatal collapse across nonrandomized trials. These results were maintained out to 5 years in the pivotal single-arm study. The single prospective comparative study of patients who received HNS versus patients who were denied insurance coverage for the procedure has a high potential for performance bias. For children and adolescents with OSA and Down Syndrome who are unable to tolerate CPAP, the evidence includes a systematic review and a prospective study of 42 individuals. The systematic review investigated HNS in adolescents with Down Syndrome and OSA, and demonstrated significant improvement in AHI and OSA-18 survey scores after HNS. A study of 42 individuals with Down Syndrome and OSA found a success rate of 73.2% with 4 device extrusions corrected with replacement surgery. Limitations of the current evidence base preclude determination of who is most likely to benefit from this invasive procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

### **Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

For individuals who have OSA who receive HNS, clinical input supports that this use provides a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and indicates this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice in subgroups of appropriately selected patients. One subgroup includes adult patients with a favorable pattern of non-concentric palatal collapse. The alternative treatment for this anatomical endotype is maxillo-mandibular advancement (MMA), which is associated with greater morbidity and lower patient acceptance than HNS. The improvement in Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI) with HNS, as shown in the Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction (STAR) trial, is similar to the improvement in AHI following MMA. Another subgroup includes appropriately selected adolescents with OSA and Down's syndrome who have difficulty in using continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). The following patient selection criteria are based on information from clinical study populations and clinical expert opinion.

- Age  $\geq 22$  years in adults or adolescents with Down's syndrome age 10 to 21; AND
- Diagnosed moderate to severe OSA (with less than 25% central apneas); AND
- CPAP failure or inability to tolerate CPAP; AND
- Body mass index  $\leq 32$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in adults; AND

- Favorable pattern of palatal collapse.

## **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

### American Academy of Sleep Medicine

The American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM, 2021) published practice guidelines on when to refer patients for surgical modifications of the upper airway for OSA. (47) These guidelines replaced the 2010 practice parameters for surgical modifications. (48) The AASM guidelines note that positive airway pressure (PAP) is the most efficacious treatment for OSA, but effectiveness can be compromised when patients are unable to adhere to therapy or obtain an adequate benefit, which is when surgical management may be indicated. The AASM guideline recommendations are based on a systematic review and meta-analysis of 274 studies of surgical interventions, including procedures such as uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), modified UPPP, MMA, tongue base suspension, and hypoglossal nerve stimulation. (49) The systematic review deemed most included data of low quality, consisting of mostly observational data. The AASM strongly recommends that clinicians discuss referral to a sleep surgeon with adults with OSA and body mass index (BMI)  $<40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> who are intolerant or unaccepting of PAP. Clinically meaningful and beneficial differences in nearly all critical outcomes, including a decrease in excessive sleepiness, improved quality of life (QOL), improved Apnea/Hypopnea Index (AHI) or respiratory disturbance index (RDI), and sleep quality, were demonstrated with surgical management in patients who are intolerant or unaccepting of PAP. The AASM makes a conditional recommendation that clinicians discuss referral to a sleep surgeon with adults with OSA, BMI  $<40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and persistent inadequate PAP adherence due to pressure-related side effects, as available data (very low-quality), suggests that upper airway surgery has a moderate effect in reducing minimum therapeutic PAP level and increasing PAP adherence. In adults with OSA and obesity (class II/III, BMI  $\geq 35$ ) who are intolerant or unaccepting of PAP, the AASM strongly recommends discussion of referral to a bariatric surgeon, along with other weight-loss strategies.

### American Academy of Pediatrics

The American Academy of Pediatrics (2012) published a clinical practice guideline on the diagnosis and management of childhood OSA. (50) The Academy indicated that if a child has OSA, a clinical examination consistent with adenotonsillar hypertrophy, and does not have a contraindication to surgery, the clinician should recommend adenotonsillectomy as first-line treatment. The Academy recommended that patients should be referred for CPAP management if symptoms/signs or objective evidence of OSA persist after adenotonsillectomy or if adenotonsillectomy is not performed. Weight loss was recommended in addition to other therapy if a child or adolescent with OSA is overweight or obese (defined as BMI  $>95$ th percentile).

### American Academy of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery

The American Academy of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS; 2021) has a position statement on surgical management of OSA. (51) Procedures AAO-HNS supported as effective and not considered investigational when part of a comprehensive approach in the medical and surgical management of adults with OSA include:

- Tracheostomy,
- Nasal and pharyngeal airway surgery,
- Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy,
- Palatal advancement,
- UPPP,
- Genioglossal advancement,
- Hyoid myotomy,
- Midline glossectomy,
- Tongue suspension,
- Maxillary and mandibular advancement.

In a 2021 position statement, AAO-HNS supported hypoglossal nerve stimulation as an effective second-line treatment of moderate-to-severe OSA. (52)

#### American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery

The American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (2012) published guidelines on the perioperative management of OSA. (53) The guideline indicated that OSA is strongly associated with obesity, with the incidence of OSA in the morbidly obese population reported as between 38% and 88%. The Society recommended bariatric surgery as the initial treatment of choice for OSA in this population, besides CPAP, as opposed to surgical procedures directed at the mandible or tissues of the palate. The updated 2017 guidelines reaffirmed these recommendations. (54)

#### National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) 2017 guidance concluded that evidence on the safety and efficacy of hypoglossal nerve stimulation is limited in quantity and quality, and the procedure should only be used in the context of a clinical trial. (55)

#### **Medicare National Coverage**

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS; 2001) published a decision memorandum that addressed how to define moderate-to-severe OSA as a guide for a coverage policy on CPAP. (56) Because surgical approaches are considered when CPAP fails, CMS policy was adapted to this medical policy on the surgical management of OSA. The CMS review of the literature suggested there is a risk of hypertension with an AHI or RDI of at least 15 events per hour, and thus treatment is warranted for patients without any additional signs and symptoms. For patients with an AHI or RDI between 5 and 14 and associated symptoms, CMS concluded that the data from randomized controlled trials have demonstrated improved daytime somnolence and functioning in those treated with CPAP.

There is no national coverage determination for hypoglossal nerve stimulation. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

#### **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 36.

**Table 36. Summary of Key Trials**

| NCT Number               | Trial Name                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Planned Enrollment | Completion Date           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| NCT05592002              | A Multicenter Study to Assess the Safety and Effectiveness of the Genio® Dual-sided Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation System for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Subjects With Complete Concentric Collapse of the Soft Palate                                                    | 124                | Oct 2027                  |
| NCT02413970 <sup>a</sup> | Inspire® Upper Airway Stimulation System (UAS): Post-Approval Study Protocol Number 2014-001                                                                                                                                                                                              | 127                | Jun 2025                  |
| NCT03868618 <sup>a</sup> | A Multicenter Study to Assess the Safety and Effectiveness of the Genio Dual-sided Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation System for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Adults Subjects                                                                                                   | 115                | Feb 2028                  |
| NCT03763682 <sup>a</sup> | A Multicentre, Prospective, Open-label, 2 Groups Study to Assess the Safety and Performance of the Genio™ Bilateral Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation System for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnoea in Adult Patients With and Without Complete Concentric Collapse of the Soft Palate | 42                 | Dec 2023 (status unknown) |
| NCT04801771 <sup>a</sup> | Effects of Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation on Cognition and Language in Down Syndrome and Obstructive Sleep Apnea                                                                                                                                                                           | 57                 | Sept 2026                 |
| NCT04031040 <sup>a</sup> | A Post-market Clinical Follow up of the Genio™ System for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Adults. (EliSA)                                                                                                                                                                     | 110                | Oct 2025                  |
| NCT02907398 <sup>a</sup> | Adherence and Outcome of Upper Airway Stimulation (UAS) for OSA International Registry                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 5000               | Dec 2025                  |
| NCT04950894 <sup>a</sup> | Treating Obstructive Sleep Apnea Using Targeted Hypoglossal Neurostimulation                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 150                | Apr 2025                  |

NCT: national clinical trial.

<sup>a</sup> Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

## Coding

Procedure codes on Medical Policy documents are included **only** as a general reference tool for each policy. **They may not be all-inclusive.**

The presence or absence of procedure, service, supply, or device codes in a Medical Policy document has no relevance for determination of benefit coverage for members or reimbursement for providers. **Only the written coverage position in a Medical Policy should be used for such determinations.**

Benefit coverage determinations based on written Medical Policy coverage positions must include review of the member's benefit contract or Summary Plan Description (SPD) for defined coverage vs. non-coverage, benefit exclusions, and benefit limitations such as dollar or duration caps.

|                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>CPT Codes</b>   | 21083, 21085, 21127, 21141, 21142, 21143, 21193, 21194, 21195, 21196, 21198, 21199, 21210, 21215, 21244, 21245, 21246, 21685, 41120, 41512, 41530, 42145, 42299, 42950, 64568, 64582, 64583, 64584, |
| <b>HCPCS Codes</b> | C1767, C1778, C8007, C8008, C8009, C8011, C8012, C8013, C9727, L8680, L8688, S2080                                                                                                                  |

\*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©2024 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.

## References

1. Dudley KA, Patel SR. Disparities and genetic risk factors in obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Med.* Feb 2016; 18:96-102. PMID 26428843
2. Cohen SM, Howard JJM, Jin MC, et al. Racial Disparities in Surgical Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *OTO Open.* 2022; 6(1): 2473974X221088870. PMID 35321423
3. Lee YC, Chang KY, Mador MJ. Racial disparity in sleep apnea-related mortality in the United States. *Sleep Med.* Feb 2022; 90:204-213. PMID 35202926
4. Friedman M, Schalch P, Lin HC, et al. Palatal implants for the treatment of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Feb 2008; 138(2):209-216. PMID 18241718
5. Lee LA, Yu JF, Lo YL, et al. Comparative effects of snoring sound between two minimally invasive surgeries in the treatment of snoring: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE.* May 2014; 9(5):e97186. PMID 24816691
6. Patel S, Kon SSC, Nolan CM, et al. The Epworth Sleepiness Scale: Minimum clinically important difference in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* Apr 01 2018; 197(7):961-963. PMID 28961021
7. Ferguson KA, Heighway K, Ruby RR. A randomized trial of laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty in the treatment of mild obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* Jan 01 2003; 167(1):15-19. PMID 12502473
8. Bäck LJ, Liukko T, Rantanen I, et al. Radiofrequency surgery of the soft palate in the treatment of mild obstructive sleep apnea is not effective as a single-stage procedure: A randomized single-blinded placebo-controlled trial. *Laryngoscope.* Aug 2009; 119(8):1621-1627. PMID 19504550
9. Woodson BT, Steward DL, Weaver EM, et al. A randomized trial of temperature-controlled radiofrequency, continuous positive airway pressure, and placebo for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Jun 2003; 128(6):848-861. PMID 12825037

10. Herman H, Stern J, Alessi DM, et al. Office-Based Multilevel Radiofrequency Ablation for Mild-to-Moderate Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *OTO Open*. 2023; 7(1):e19. PMID 36998558
11. Steward DL, Huntley TC, Woodson BT, et al. Palate implants for obstructive sleep apnea: multi-institution, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Oct 2008; 139(4):506-510. PMID 18922335
12. Neruntarat C. Long-term results of palatal implants for obstructive sleep apnea. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. Jul 2011; 268(7):1077-1080. PMID 21298386
13. Maurer JT, Sommer JU, Hein G, et al. Palatal implants in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a randomised, placebo-controlled single-centre trial. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. Jul 2012; 269(7):1851-1856. PMID 22228439
14. Thomas AJ, Chavoya M, Terris DJ. Preliminary findings from a prospective, randomized trial of two tongue-base surgeries for sleep-disordered breathing. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Nov 2003; 129(5):539-546. PMID 14595277
15. Costantino A, Rinaldi V, Moffa A, et al. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation long-term clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Breath*. Jun 2020; 24(2):399-411. PMID 31418162
16. Steffen A, Sommer JU, Hofauer B, et al. Outcome after one year of upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea in a multicenter German post-market study. *Laryngoscope*. Feb 2018; 128(2):509-515. PMID 28561345
17. Steffen A, Sommer UJ, Maurer JT, et al. Long-term follow-up of the German post-market study for upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. Sep 2020; 24(3):979-984. PMID 31485853
18. Strollo PJ, Soose RJ, Maurer JT, et al. Upper-airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med*. Jan 09 2014; 370(2):139-149. PMID 24401051
19. Strollo PJ, Gillespie MB, Soose RJ, et al. Upper Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Durability of the Treatment Effect at 18 Months. *Sleep*. Oct 01 2015; 38(10):1593-1598. PMID 26158895
20. Woodson BT, Strohl KP, Soose RJ, et al. Upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea: 5-year outcomes. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Jul 2018; 159(1):194-202. PMID 29582703
21. Kim DH, Kim SW, Han JS, et al. Comparative effectiveness of hypoglossal nerve stimulation and alternative treatments for obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Sleep Res*. May 2024; 33(3):e14017. PMID 37661785
22. Alrubasy WA, Abuawwad MT, Taha MJ, et al. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea in adults: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Med*. 2024; 234:107826. PMID 39401661
23. Wollny M, Heiser C, Sommer U, et al. Adverse Events with Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea-A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials and Real-World Data. *J Clin Med*. Jul 23 2024; 13(15). PMID 39124549
24. Schwartz AR, Jacobowitz O, Eisele DW, et al. Targeted Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation for Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Jun 01 2023; 149(6):512-520. PMID 37022679

25. Heiser C, Steffen A, Hofauer B, et al. Effect of upper airway stimulation in patients with obstructive sleep apnea (EFFECT): A Randomized Controlled Crossover Trial. *J Clin Med*. Jun 29 2021; 10(13):2880. PMID 34209581
26. Dedhia RC, Bliwise DL, Quyyumi AA, et al. Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation and Cardiovascular Outcomes for Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Jan 01 2024; 150(1):39-48. PMID 38032624
27. Yu JL, Mahmoud A, Thaler ER. Transoral robotic surgery versus upper airway stimulation in select obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Laryngoscope*. Jan 2019; 129(1):256-258. PMID 30208225
28. Huntley C, Boon M, Tschopp S, et al. Comparison of traditional upper airway surgery and upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. Apr 2021; 130(4):370-376. PMID 32862654
29. Mehra R, Steffen A, Heiser C, et al. Upper airway stimulation versus untreated comparators in positive airway pressure treatment-refractory obstructive sleep apnea. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. Dec 2020; 17(12):1610-1619. PMID 32663043
30. Shah J, Russell JO, Waters T, et al. Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty vs CN XII stimulation for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: A single institution experience. *Am J Otolaryngol*. May 2018; 39(3):266-270. PMID 29540289
31. Huntley C, Chou DW, Doghramji K, et al. Comparing Upper Airway Stimulation to Expansion Sphincter Pharyngoplasty: A Single University Experience. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. Jun 2018; 127(6):379-383. PMID 29707958
32. Woodson BT, Soose RJ, Gillespie MB, et al. Three-Year Outcomes of Cranial Nerve Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: The STAR Trial. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Jan 2016; 154(1):181-188. PMID 26577774
33. Soose RJ, Woodson BT, Gillespie MB, et al. Upper Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Self-Reported Outcomes at 24 Months. *J Clin Sleep Med*. Jan 2016; 12(1):43-48. PMID 26235158
34. Woodson BT, Gillespie MB, Soose RJ, et al. Randomized controlled withdrawal study of upper airway stimulation on OSA: short- and long-term effect. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Nov 2014; 151(5):880-887. PMID 25205641
35. Kezirian EJ, Goding GS, Malhotra A, et al. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation improves obstructive sleep apnea: 12-month outcomes. *J Sleep Res*. Feb 2014; 23(1):77-83. PMID 24033656
36. Gillespie MB, Soose RJ, Woodson BT, et al. Upper Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Patient-Reported Outcomes after 48 Months of Follow-up. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Apr 2017; 156(4):765-771. PMID 28194999
37. Heiser C, Maurer JT, Hofauer B, et al. Outcomes of Upper Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea in a Multicenter German Postmarket Study. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Feb 2017; 156(2):378-384. PMID 28025918
38. Hasselbacher K, Hofauer B, Maurer JT, et al. Patient-reported outcome: results of the multicenter German post-market study. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. Jul 2018; 275(7):1913-1919. PMID 29808422

39. Liu P, Kong W, Fang C, et al. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation in adolescents with down syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Neurol.* 2022; 13:1037926. PMID 36388229
40. Yu PK, Stenerson M, Ishman SL, et al. Evaluation of Upper Airway Stimulation for Adolescents With Down Syndrome and Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Jun 01 2022; 148(6):522-528. PMID 35446411
41. Boon M, Huntley C, Steffen A, et al. Upper Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Results from the ADHERE Registry. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Aug 2018; 159(2):379-385. PMID 29557280
42. Kent DT, Carden KA, Wang L, et al. Evaluation of Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation Treatment in Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Nov 1 2019; 145(11):1044-1052. PMID 31556927
43. Thaler E, Schwab R, Maurer J, et al. Results of the ADHERE upper airway stimulation registry and predictors of therapy efficacy. *Laryngoscope.* May 2020; 130(5):1333-1338. PMID 31520484
44. Suurna MV, Steffen A, Boon M, et al. Impact of Body Mass Index and Discomfort on Upper Airway Stimulation: ADHERE Registry 2020 Update. *Laryngoscope.* Nov 2021; 131(11):2616-2624. PMID 34626128
45. Huntley C, Steffen A, Doghramji K, et al. Upper Airway Stimulation in Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea and an Elevated Body Mass Index: A Multi-institutional Review. *Laryngoscope.* Oct 2018; 128(10):2425-2428. PMID 30098035
46. Patel RM, Wang HZ, Jamro EL, et al. Response to Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation Changes With Body Mass Index and Supine Sleep. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* May 01 2024; 150(5):421-428. PMID 38573632
47. Kent D, Stanley J, Aurora RN, et al. Referral of adults with obstructive sleep apnea for surgical consultation: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Sleep Med.* Dec 01 2021; 17(12):2499-2505. PMID 34351848
48. Aurora RN, Casey KR, Kristo D, et al. Practice parameters for the surgical modifications of the upper airway for obstructive sleep apnea in adults. *Sleep.* Oct 1 2010; 33(10):1408-1413. PMID 21061864
49. Kent D, Stanley J, Aurora RN, et al. Referral of adults with obstructive sleep apnea for surgical consultation: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine systematic review, meta-analysis, and GRADE assessment. *J Clin SleepMed.* Dec 01 2021; 17(12):2507-2531. PMID 34351849
50. Marcus CL, Brooks LJ, Draper KA, et al. Diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics.* Sep 2012; 130(3):e714-e755. PMID 22926176
51. American Academy of Otolaryngology -- Head and Neck Surgery. Position Statement: Surgical Management of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. 2021. Available at <<https://www.entnet.org>> (accessed April 14, 2025).
52. American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 2021 Position Statement: Hypoglossal Nerve Stimulation for Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA). Available at <<https://www.entnet.org>> (accessed April 13, 2025).

53. Clinical Issues Committee, American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery. Peri-operative management of obstructive sleep apnea. 2012. Available at <<https://asmbs.org>> (accessed April 14, 2025).
54. de Raaff CAL, Gorter-Stam MAW, de Vries N, et al. Perioperative management of obstructive sleep apnea in bariatric surgery: a consensus guideline. Surg Obes Relat Dis. Jul 2017; 13(7):1095-1109. PMID 28666588
55. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea (IPG598). 2017. Available at <<https://www.nice.org.uk>> (accessed April 14, 2025).
56. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Decision Memo for Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) Therapy for Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) (CAG-00093N). 2008. Available at <<https://www.cms.gov>> (accessed April 14, 2025).

## Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

The information contained in this section is for informational purposes only. HCSC makes no representation as to the accuracy of this information. It is not to be used for claims adjudication for HCSC Plans.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have a national Medicare coverage position. Coverage may be subject to local carrier discretion.

A national coverage position for Medicare may have been developed since this medical policy document was written. See Medicare's National Coverage at <<https://www.cms.hhs.gov>>.

## Policy History/Revision

| Date       | Description of Change                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01/01/2026 | Document updated. The following changes were made to Coverage: 1) Modified conditional criteria for palatopharyngoplasty procedures; 2) Modified conditional criteria for hyoid suspension/surgical modification of tongue/maxillofacial surgery procedures; 3) Added conditional criteria for adenotonsillectomy for pediatric individuals; 4) Modified conditional criteria for hypoglossal nerve stimulation in adults; 5) Modified list of experimental, investigational and/or unproven minimally invasive surgical procedures; 6) Modified examples of interventions considered not medically necessary for treatment of snoring; 7) Removed statement on uvulectomy as a stand-alone procedure; 8) Removed statement on genioplasty; and 9) Removed statement on tracheostomy. Added reference 22, 23, 50 and 56; one updated. |
| 02/01/2025 | Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. References 21, 24, 42 and 44 added; others updated.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 03/15/2024 | Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to the Coverage section addressing hypoglossal nerve stimulation: 1) Added                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            | the phrase “used in accordance with the U.S. FDA approved indications” to the statements that address hypoglossal nerve stimulation for both adults with OSA and adolescents or young adults with Down syndrome: 2) Changed the age criteria for adults with OSA from 22yrs to 18 yrs; 3) Changed BMI criteria for adults with OSA from $\leq 32 \text{ kg/m}^2$ to $\leq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; 4) Changed age criteria for hypoglossal nerve stimulation in adolescents or young adults with Down syndrome and OSA from 10 to 21 yrs to Age 13 to 18 yrs. References 10, 21, 35 and 50 were added, other references updated, and one reference removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 01/15/2023 | Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. References 1-3, 12, 14, 16, 20, 22-23, 34, 37, 39, 41, 45, 47 were added; some references were updated and others removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 01/01/2022 | Reviewed. No changes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 11/01/2020 | Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to the Coverage: 1) Examples of interventions were removed from the following statement: All interventions are considered not medically necessary for the treatment of snoring in the absence of documented OSA; snoring alone is not considered a medical condition; 2) Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for adults with OSA had the second and third bullet criteria changed to: $\text{AHI} \geq 15$ with less than 25% central apneas; AND CPAP failure (residual $\text{AHI} \geq 15$ or failure to use CPAP $\geq 4$ hours per night for $\geq 5$ nights per week) or inability to tolerate CPAP; 3) Removed Coverage addressing an implantable stimulation device delivering electrical pulses to the phrenic nerve in patients with central sleep apnea (CSA). Coverage addressing an implantable stimulation device delivering electrical pulses to the phrenic nerve in patients with central sleep apnea (CSA) has been moved to medical policy SUR701.042 Phrenic Nerve Stimulation for Central Sleep Apnea. References 5, 13, 21-22, 26-30, 32, and 35 added. |
| 04/15/2020 | Document updated with the following Coverage changes: 1) Removed: Rhinoplasty may be considered medically necessary when performed to correct significant deformity in individuals with documented obstructive sleep apnea or breathing difficulty, or chronic rhinosinusitis as a result of external nasal pyramid deformity following documented trauma or injury. 2) Renumbered NOTES; 3) Changed: NOTE 6 To: For information on Rhinoplasty see Medical Policy SUR706.001 Nasal and Sinus Surgery. No references added or removed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 09/01/2019 | Document updated with literature review. The following Coverage changes were made: 1) Added conditional coverage for implantable hypoglossal nerve stimulation; 2) Removed the following Coverage statement: Implantable hypoglossal nerve stimulators are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven for all indications, including but not limited to the treatment of OSA.; 3) Removed NOTE 6; See related eviCore Clinical                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            | Guidelines for Sleep Apnea. References added: 3, 6, 9, 12-15, 20-22, 27, and 34.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 04/15/2018 | Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to Coverage: 1) variants of palatopharyngoplasty have been added to the medically necessary statement when criteria have been met. 2) Criteria for the variants of palatopharyngoplasty and Hyoid suspension replaced adult patients who have not responded to or do not tolerate continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP); with who have failed an adequate trial of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or failed an adequate trial of an oral appliance. 3) Uvulopalatal flap has been removed from the following statement: Uvulopalatal flap or uvulectomy as stand-alone procedures for the treatment of OSA are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven. 4) The following Coverage statement was clarified by adding the word “obstructive” and replacing “and chronic rhinosinusitis” with “or chronic rhinosinusitis”: Rhinoplasty may be considered medically necessary when performed to correct significant deformity in individuals with documented obstructive sleep apnea or breathing difficulty, or chronic rhinosinusitis as a result of external nasal pyramid deformity following documented trauma or injury. The following coverage has been added: Surgical treatment of OSA that does not meet the criteria above would be considered not medically necessary. The following statement has been removed: Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) is considered not medically necessary for the treatment of respiratory conditions, including but not limited to snoring, other than clinically significant obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSA). The following Note was added: Documentation of attempts at weight loss; or provider/patient discussion regarding importance of weight loss in morbidly obese patients should be considered. The word palatoplasty was added to the following Coverage statement under the minimally-invasive surgical procedures: Laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty (LAUP), palatoplasty or radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the palatal tissues. |
| 01/01/2017 | Reviewed. No changes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 01/01/2016 | Document updated with literature review. The following statements were added to the Coverage section: 1) Implantable hypoglossal nerve stimulators are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven for all indications, including but not limited to the treatment of OSA. 2) NOTE: This medical policy addresses surgical treatment of the inferior turbinates as it relates to the management of obstructive sleep apnea. The surgical treatment of inferior turbinates may be appropriate in other medical conditions not addressed in medical policy. 3) Uvulopalatal flap or uvulectomy as stand-alone procedures for the treatment of OSA are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven. (NOTE: Uvulectomy performed for other indications e.g., acute inflammation/angioedema of the uvula are not addressed in this medical                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            | policy). The following clarification was added to the minimally-invasive surgical procedures to include the uvula in the following statement for Radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the tongue, the palatal tissues; (including the uvula), or the inferior turbinates. 4) Respicardia remede® System, an implantable stimulation device delivering electrical pulses to the phrenic nerve in patients with central sleep apnea (CSA) is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 03/15/2014 | The following was added to the coverage section: 1) Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) is considered not medically necessary for the treatment of respiratory conditions, including but not limited to snoring, other than clinically significant obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSA). 2) The following statement changed from: The following minimally-invasive surgical procedures are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven for the sole or adjunctive treatment of OSA: Radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the tongue, with or without radiofrequency reduction of the palatal tissues to: The following minimally-invasive surgical procedures are considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven for the sole or adjunctive treatment of OSA: Radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the tongue, the palatal tissues, or the inferior turbinates.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 10/01/2013 | Document updated with literature review. Title changed from Sleep Related Breathing Disorders, Medical and Surgical Management. Medical Management coverage has been moved to medical policy MED205.001 Diagnosis and Medical Management of Sleep Related Breathing Disorders. The diagnosis and treatment related to Upper Airway Resistance Syndrome (UARS) was removed. The definition of clinically significant Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) has changed – 1) Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), Hyoid suspension, surgical modification of the tongue, and/or maxillofacial surgery, including mandibular-maxillary advancement (MMA), Tracheostomy, and Rhinoplasty may be considered medically necessary when all applicable criteria has been met. 2) Minimally-invasive surgical procedures are considered experimental, investigational and unproven, examples provided under coverage. 3) All interventions, including LAUP, radiofrequency volumetric tissue reduction of the palate, glossectomy, or palatal stiffening procedures, are considered not medically necessary for the treatment of snoring in the absence of documented OSA; snoring alone is not considered a medical condition. 4) Genioplasty performed alone or in conjunction with other orthognathic surgical procedures is considered cosmetic. |
| 01/15/2013 | Document updated with literature review. The following was added: A nasal expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) device (e.g. PROVENT) is considered experimental, investigational and unproven.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 08/15/2009 | Policy updated to acknowledge conditional coverage of home sleep studies addressed on MED205.001.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

|            |                                                                                                               |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11/01/2008 | Revised/updated entire document. This policy is no longer scheduled for routine literature review and update. |
| 05/15/2008 | Coverage revised                                                                                              |
| 01/01/2008 | Codes added/deleted                                                                                           |
| 11/01/2007 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 12/15/2006 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 10/01/2006 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 07/01/1999 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 05/01/1996 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 10/01/1995 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 07/01/1995 | Revised/updated entire document                                                                               |
| 09/01/1990 | New medical document                                                                                          |