

Policy Number	RX501.086
Policy Effective Date	01/01/2026

Nusinersen

Table of Contents
Coverage
Policy Guidelines
Description
Rationale
Coding
References
Policy History

Related Policies (if applicable)
None

Disclaimer

Medical policies are a set of written guidelines that support current standards of practice. They are based on current generally accepted standards of and developed by nonprofit professional association(s) for the relevant clinical specialty, third-party entities that develop treatment criteria, or other federal or state governmental agencies. A requested therapy must be proven effective for the relevant diagnosis or procedure. For drug therapy, the proposed dose, frequency and duration of therapy must be consistent with recommendations in at least one authoritative source. This medical policy is supported by FDA-approved labeling and/or nationally recognized authoritative references to major drug compendia, peer reviewed scientific literature and generally accepted standards of medical care. These references include, but are not limited to: MCG care guidelines, DrugDex (IIa level of evidence or higher), NCCN Guidelines (IIb level of evidence or higher), NCCN Compendia (IIb level of evidence or higher), professional society guidelines, and CMS coverage policy.

Carefully check state regulations and/or the member contract.

Each benefit plan, summary plan description or contract defines which services are covered, which services are excluded, and which services are subject to dollar caps or other limitations, conditions or exclusions. Members and their providers have the responsibility for consulting the member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract to determine if there are any exclusions or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. **If there is a discrepancy between a Medical Policy and a member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract, the benefit plan, summary plan description or contract will govern.**

Legislative Mandates

EXCEPTION: For Illinois only: Illinois Public Act 103-0458 [Insurance Code 215 ILCS 5/356z.61] (HB3809 Impaired Children) states all group or individual fully insured PPO, HMO, POS plans amended, delivered, issued, or renewed on or after January 1, 2025 shall provide coverage for therapy, diagnostic testing, and equipment necessary to increase quality of life for children who have been clinically or genetically diagnosed with any disease, syndrome, or disorder that includes low tone neuromuscular impairment, neurological impairment, or cognitive impairment.

EXCEPTION: For members residing in the state of Ohio, § 3923.60 requires any group or individual policy (Small, Mid-Market, Large Groups, Municipalities/Counties/Schools, State Employees, Fully-Insured, PPO, HMO, POS, EPO) that covers prescription drugs to provide for the coverage of any drug approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) when it is prescribed for a use recognized as

safe and effective for the treatment of a given indication in one or more of the standard medical reference compendia adopted by the United States Department of Health and Human Services or in medical literature even if the FDA has not approved the drug for that indication. Medical literature support is only satisfied when safety and efficacy has been confirmed in two articles from major peer-reviewed professional medical journals that present data supporting the proposed off-label use or uses as generally safe and effective. Examples of accepted journals include, but are not limited to, Journal of American Medical Association (JAMA), New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM), and Lancet. Accepted study designs may include, but are not limited to, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled clinical trials. Evidence limited to case studies or case series is not sufficient to meet the standard of this criterion. Coverage is never required where the FDA has recognized a use to be contraindicated, and coverage is not required for non-formulary drugs.

Coverage

Initial Treatment

Nusinersen (Spinraza®) **may be considered medically necessary** if **all** the following conditions are met:

1. Diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) confirmed by genetic testing demonstrating bi-allelic mutations in the survival motor neuron 1 (*SMN1*) gene as stated below:
 - a. Deletion of both copies of the *SMN1* gene; **OR**
 - b. Compound heterozygous mutations of the *SMN1* gene (defined below):
 - i. Pathogenic variant(s) in both copies of the *SMN1* gene
 - ii. Pathogenic variant in 1 copy and deletion of the second copy of the *SMN1* gene.
2. If the individual is symptomatic, documentation of a genetic test confirms 2, 3, or 4 copies of the *SMN2* gene; **OR** if the individual is asymptomatic, documentation of a genetic test confirms 2 or 3 copies of the *SMN2* gene.
3. The individual is not on permanent ventilator dependence.

Continuation Treatment

Reauthorization for nusinersen (Spinraza®) **may be considered medically necessary** if the following conditions are met:

1. The individual continues to meet initial treatment criteria cited above;
2. Documented evidence to support clinically meaningful improvement in motor milestones during the previous treatment period. Obtain a baseline motor milestone score from a validated assessment tool (See **Policy Guidelines**).

Nusinersen (Spinraza®) **is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven** for all other indications.

Concurrent use of nusinersen (Spinraza®) with Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi), Evrysdi® (risdiplam), or Itivisma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-brve) **is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven**.

Use of nusinersen (Spinraza®) after Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven.

Policy Guidelines

Nusinersen

The recommended dose of nusinersen is 12 mg (5 mL) administered intrathecally by, or under the direction of, healthcare professionals experienced in performing lumbar punctures. Treatment is initiated with 4 loading doses; the first 3 loading doses should be administered at 14-day intervals while the fourth loading dose is administered 30 days after the third loading dose. Maintenance doses should be administered once every 4 months thereafter.

Dosing Limits

Initial: 4 loading doses

Maintenance: 1 dose every 4 months

SMN2 Copy Numbers

For details, refer to Table 2 in the “Description” Section. Generally, the number of *SMN2* gene copies is inversely related to the severity of spinal muscular atrophy. Higher numbers typically correlate with less severe disease. Among individuals clinically diagnosed with type I spinal muscular atrophy, 73% had 2 copies of *SMN2*, type II spinal muscular atrophy individuals 82% had 3 copies of *SMN2*, and type III spinal muscular atrophy individuals 51% had 3 and 46% had 4 copies of *SMN2*. Conversely, the probability that an unaffected child who has been tested after birth and has been found to carry a homozygous *SMN1* deletion, the probability of developing type I spinal muscular atrophy if the child has 1 or 2 copies of *SMN2* is greater than 97%. Those with 3 copies of *SMN2* have an 83% probability of developing type II spinal muscular atrophy and those with 4 copies of *SMN2* have an 84% probability of developing type III spinal muscular atrophy.

Validated Assessment Tools

a. Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination (HINE-2) milestones:

One of the following:

- i. Improvement or maintenance of previous improvement of at least 2 point (or maximal score) increase in ability to kick.
- ii. Improvement or maintenance of previous improvement of at least 1 point increase in any other HINE-2 milestone (e.g., head control, rolling, sitting, crawling, etc.), excluding voluntary grasp.

and

One of the following:

- i. The individual exhibited improvement, or maintenance of previous improvement in more HINE motor milestones from pretreatment baseline (net positive improvement).
- ii. Achieved and maintained any new motor milestones when they would otherwise be unexpected to do so (e.g., sit unassisted, stand, walk).

OR

b. **Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale Expanded (HFMSE):**

One of the following:

- i. Improvement or maintenance of previous improvement of at least a 3 point increase in score from pretreatment baseline.
- ii. The individual has achieved and maintained any new motor milestone from pretreatment baseline when they would otherwise be unexpected to do so.

OR

c. **Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorder (CHOP INTEND):**

One of the following:

- i. Improvement or maintenance of previous improvement of at least a 4 point increase in score from pretreatment baseline.
- ii. The individual has achieved and maintained any new motor milestone from pretreatment baseline when they would otherwise be unexpected to do so.

OR

d. **Revised Upper Limb Module (RULM):**

One of the following:

- i. Improvement or maintenance of previous improvement of at least a 2 point increase in score from pretreatment baseline.
- ii. The individual has achieved and maintained any new motor milestone from pretreatment baseline when they would otherwise be unexpected to do so.

OR

e. **6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT):**

One of the following:

- i. >30-meter change in 6MWT walking distance.
- ii. The individual has achieved and maintained any new motor milestone from pretreatment baseline when they would otherwise be unexpected to do so.

Description

Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a rare autosomal recessive genetic disorder caused by homozygous deletions or variants in the *SMN1* gene located on chromosome 5. This gene produces the “survival of motor neuron” protein (*SMN1*), which is essential for motor neuron functioning. In 95% of cases of SMA, there is a homozygous deletion of exon 7 in the *SMN1* gene. The remaining 5% of cases are compound heterozygotes for *SMN1* exon 7 deletions and small intragenic variants. (1) Due to absent or low levels of the *SMN1* protein, motor neurons in the spinal cord degenerate, resulting in atrophy of the voluntary muscles of the limbs and trunk affecting the ability to crawl, walk, sit up, and control head. In more severe cases, feeding, swallowing, and breathing are affected as well. The exact role of the survival motor neuron (SMN) protein in motor neurons has not been completely elucidated and levels of the SMN protein required for optimal functioning are unknown. (2)

There is wide phenotypic heterogeneity in SMA, as summarized in Table 1. This is due to the presence of survival motor neuron 2 (*SMN2*), a modifying/backup gene, also located on chromosome 5, which is 99% identical to *SMN1*. However, 70% to 90% of the *SMN2* compensatory protein produced by this gene is defective and unstable due to the lack of exon 7. (3) The number of copies of the *SMN2* gene varies widely (range, 0-6), resulting in a less severe form of SMA among those with more copies of the *SMN2* gene and vice-versa. (4) The relation between the *SMN2* copy number and SMA phenotype is summarized in Table 2. These data were generated from deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) samples of 375 patients with SMA who previously had been classified as follows: 188 with SMA type I, 110 with SMA type II, and 77 with SMA type III. (5)

Table 1. Characteristics and Subtypes of Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Type of SMA	Age at Symptoms Onset	Life Span	Highest Motor Milestone Achieved	<i>SMN2</i> Copy Number ^a
Type 0 (antenatal-onset SMA)	Prenatal	<6 months	Little ability to move and may be unable to breathe and swallow independently.	1
Type I (infantile SMA or Werdnig-Hoffman disease)	0-6 months	<2 years without respiratory support	Never rolls or sits unsupported.	2
Type II (intermediate SMA or Dubowitz disease)	<18 months	>2 years; ≈70% alive at 25 years of age	Sits independently once properly positioned; sometimes stands but never able to walk.	3 or 4
Type III (Kugelberg-Welander disease)				
Subtype IIIa	>18 months to 3 years	Similar to that of the general population	Sits, stands, and walks independently until puberty; many no longer walk after puberty. Never runs or jumps well.	3 or 4
Subtype IIIb	>3 years	Similar to that of the general population	Sits, stands, and walks independently until puberty; many no longer walk after puberty. Walks, runs, jumps, and can participate in sports.	4
Type IV (adult-onset SMA)	>21 years	Similar to that of the	Similar to that of the general population.	4-8

		general population		
--	--	--------------------	--	--

Adapted from the Muscular Dystrophy Association (n.d.), (6) National Organization for Rare Disorders (2012), (7) Zerres et al. (1995), (8) Finkel et al. (2014), (9) and Rudnik-Schoneborn et al. (2001). (10) SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

^a Quantitative analysis of *SMN2* copies in 375 patients showed that 80% of SMA type I carry 1 or 2 *SMN2* copies, 82% with SMA type II carry 3 *SMN2* copies, and 96% with SMA type III carry 3 or 4 *SMN2* copies. (5)

Among 113 patients with SMA type I, 9 with 1 *SMN2* copy lived <11 months, 88 of 94 with 2 *SMN2* copies lived <21 months, and 8 of 10 with 3 *SMN2* copies lived 33 to 66 months. (11)

Table 2. Relation Between *SMN2* Copy Numbers and Spinal Muscular Atrophy Phenotype

Type of SMA	Percent With 1 <i>SMN2</i> Copy	Percent With 2 <i>SMN2</i> Copies	Percent With 3 <i>SMN2</i> Copies	Percent With 4 <i>SMN2</i> Copies
Type I	6.9	73.4	19.7	0
Type II	0	10.9	81.8	7.3
Type III	0	3.9	50.6	45.5
	Probability^a of SMA Type I	Probability^a of SMA Type II	Probability^a of SMA Type III	
1 <i>SMN2</i> copy	99.9	0	0	
2 <i>SMN2</i> copies	97.3	2.7	0	
3 <i>SMN2</i> copies	7.2	82.8	10.0	
4 <i>SMN2</i> copies	1.6	14.8	83.6	

Adapted from Feldkotter et al. (2002). (5)

SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

^a Probability that an unaffected child who has been tested after birth and has been found to carry a homozygous *SMN1* deletion will develop SMA type.

Diagnosis

SMA can be diagnosed using multiple molecular genetic testing techniques such as multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification or quantitative polymerase chain reaction or a comprehensive next-generation sequencing-based approach. Individuals are classified as having SMA if they have a homozygous deletion of the *SMN1* gene or a homozygous absence of the *SMN1* gene due to gene conversion (i.e., *SMN1* gene conversion to *SMN2* gene) or a compound heterozygote variant in the *SMN1* gene. Individuals are defined as carriers if they have 1 copy of the *SMN1* gene on 1 chromosome and no copies on the other or 2 copies of the *SMN1* gene on 1 chromosome and no copies on the other. Assessing *SMN2* copy numbers as part of a diagnostic workup is important because it can provide critical information on disease progression and assist in possible clinical trial enrollment or treatment.

Because SMA symptom onset may occur shortly after birth to months to years later, estimating the incidence and prevalence of SMA subtypes is difficult. The incidence, as reported in the

literature, is more precisely a birth prevalence rate, which is estimated between 9.1 and 10 per 100,000 live births, (12, 13) which translates to 500 new SMA cases annually.

Treatment

Medical management of SMA patients includes respiratory, nutritional, and musculoskeletal supportive care. Respiratory management includes airway clearance, antibiotic treatment of infections, noninvasive and invasive ventilation. Nutritional management includes changing food consistency, gastrostomy tube feeding, and dietician assessment. Musculoskeletal supportive care includes a variety of interventions such as equipment for mobility, teaching self-care and function, physiotherapy, spinal surgery, posture and pain management, regular exercise, and scoliosis surgery. The type and extent of supportive care can affect survival in infant-onset disease (e.g., gastrostomy feeding and noninvasive/invasive ventilation).

Nusinersen (Spinraza®) is a modified antisense oligonucleotide (a synthetic genetic material) that binds to a specific sequence in the intron downstream of exon 7 of the *SMN2* transcript; nusinersen causes the inclusion of exon 7 in the *SMN2* transcript, leading to the production of full length functional *SMN2* protein. (14)

Regulatory Status

On December 23, 2016, nusinersen (Spinraza®; Biogen) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of pediatric and adult patients with SMA.

Rationale

Medical policies assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function, including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance, and quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent 1 or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, non-randomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

Nusinersen

Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of nusinersen in pediatric and adult individuals who have spinal muscular atrophy is to provide a treatment option that is an improvement on existing therapies. Potential benefits of this therapy may include the following:

- Treatment offers a novel mechanism of action or approach that may allow successful treatment of many patients for whom other available treatments are not available.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with a genetic diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is nusinersen.

Comparators

Prior to the availability of nusinersen, there was no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved treatment for spinal muscular atrophy. Medical management includes respiratory, nutritional, and musculoskeletal supportive care.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are survival, functional ability, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Because spinal muscular atrophy is a heterogeneous disease, measuring the impact of the intervention depends on the subtype of spinal muscular atrophy. For example, in infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy (type I), comparing the achievement of motor milestones with the known natural history of spinal muscular atrophy is relevant but the same may not be applicable for patients with late-onset spinal muscular atrophy (type III) in whom normal motor milestones may be delayed but nevertheless achieved or achieved but lost later. Age- and ability-appropriate motor function scales as they relate to the natural progression of spinal muscular atrophy are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Health Outcome Measures Relevant to Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Outcome	Age	Description	Relevance
BSID-III	• Appropriate for ages 1 to 42 months (15)	• Designed to measure movements of the limbs and torso in infants and toddlers • A range of motor functions including rolling, sitting upright, crawling, stepping motions, standing, walking, climbing stairs, running, maintaining balance, and	• In the natural history of the disease, infants with Type I SMA are not expected to achieve independent sitting or any of the other subsequent milestones evaluated

		other activities requiring full-body control or coordination (15)	
CHOP INTEND	Appropriate for 3.8 months to > 4 years	Motor skills include 16 items scored on a scale of 0 (no response) to 4 (complete response) and total score ranges from 0 to 64 (16, 17)	- Score >40 rare in SMA type I with 2 <i>SMN2</i> gene copies (18) - Mean CHOP INTEND score in healthy infants (n=14; age, 3.3 months) was 501.1 vs 20.2 in SMA type I (n=16; age, 3.7 months)
HFMSE	Appropriate for individuals with SMA types II and III (19)	Motor function includes 33 items from the Gross Motor Function Measure related to lying/rolling, crawling, crawling/kneeling, standing, and walking/running/jumping that are scored on a scale of 0 to 2, with a total score that ranges from 0 to 66, where lower scores indicate poorer motor function. On average, it can be conducted in 12 minutes	Multiple studies have shown that HFMSE scores decline progressively in patients with SMA type II or III. However, there is conflicting data on whether such declines are linear (20, 21)
HINE Section 2	Appropriate for infants 2-24 months	Motor milestones include 8 items scored on a 5-point scale with 0 as the absence of activity, and a maximum score of 4 points	Infants with the most severe symptoms of SMA (early onset) may show a score of 0 on all 8 items of the HINE Section 2 (22)
MFM-32	Appropriate for ages: 2 to 62 years	- Evaluates gross and fine motor function in a broad patient population (weak nonambulant to stronger ambulant, and all levels of severity) 3 domains including standing and transfers, axial limb and proximal limb motor function, and distal motor function - Scored using 4-point Likert scale based on subject's maximal abilities without assistance (0 to 3)	- Results of an observational retrospective analysis of 12 type 2 and 19 type 3 SMA patients showed a slow deterioration (-0.9 points/y and -0.6 points/y respectively) (23) - Studies assessing the validity and reliability of MFM-32 support its use in longitudinal

			research in individuals with type 2 and non-ambulant type 3 SMA (24, 25) Results of a retrospective analysis of 81 patients with type 2 and non-ambulatory type 3 SMA aged 2–25 years suggest that a within-patient change of 3 to 4 points was meaningful. Further, the authors conclude that given the progressive nature of SMA, a 3 to 4-point improvement should not be viewed as minimal as arguably stabilization is also meaningful (26)
RULM Test	Appropriate for children and adults with SMA age ≥ 30 months	- Evaluates upper limb function - Scale consists of 20 items that test proximal and distal motor functions of the arm. The total score ranges from 0, if all the items cannot be performed, to 37, if all the activities are achieved fully without any compensatory maneuvers - Higher scores indicate better function (27)	The purpose of an upper limb scale for use in SMA is to assess change that occurs in motor performance of the upper limb overtime. Literature search did not identify threshold for clinically meaningful difference
Natural history with and without SMA		Infants without SMA at 1 year (28): 90% able to maintain head control, turn in sitting position (pivot), form a pincer grasp, play with feet, roll from prone to supine (and back), crawl on hands and knees 79% able to stand unaided 51% able to walk	With the availability of nusinersen, conducting placebo-controlled trials in patients with SMA type I who face near-term mortality would be unethical. Therefore, good

		At 18 months: 90% stand/walk unaided Event-free survival rates in infants with SMA type I (9, 29): 50% by 8-10.5 months 25% by 13.6 months 8% by 20 months	quality natural history data from SMA and non-SMA populations using validated cohorts are essential to assess relative health benefit over short- and long-term
--	--	--	--

BSID: Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (Third Edition); CHOP INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; HFMSE: Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale-Expanded; HINE: Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination; MFM-32: Motor Function Measure (32 items); RULM: Revised Upper Limb Module; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Given the heterogeneity and varying life expectancies among patients with different spinal muscular atrophy subtypes, the timing of follow-up of studies to reasonably assess whether nusinersen offers a net health benefit will differ by spinal muscular atrophy subtypes as well as by the timing of treatment initiation relative to symptom onset. Given the significant uncertainty about the durability of the long-term benefits and safety of therapies, long-term data in an observational setting are also a requirement. The timing of outcomes measures relevant to spinal muscular atrophy subtypes is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Timing of Outcome Measures Relevant to Spinal Muscular Atrophy

SMA Subtype	Purpose	Timing
Presymptomatic with a genetic diagnosis of SMA and less than 4 copies of <i>SMN2</i>	To assess short-term benefit (efficacy & safety)	6 months to 1 year may be sufficient
Types I to III	To assess short-term benefit (efficacy and safety)	1-2 years may be sufficient
Types I to III	To assess durability of benefit and delayed/rare adverse events	10-15 years (survival, comparative development milestones versus natural history of SMA and non-SMA patients, safety)

SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.

- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Presymptomatic Patients with a Genetic Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) and a Minimum of 2 But Less Than 4 Copies of Survival Motor Neuron 2 (SMN2)

Review of Evidence

Non-Randomized Studies

In the pivotal single-arm NURTURE trial, 25 infants received nusinersen. Of the 25 infants, 15 had 2 copies of *SMN2* (most likely to develop type I SMA) and 10 had 3 copies of *SMN2* (most likely to develop type II SMA). Infants' age at first dose ranged from 8 to 41 days (≤ 14 days, $n=9$; >14 to ≤ 28 days, $n=12$; >28 days, $n=4$). See Table 5 for study key characteristics summary.

Results are summarized in Table 6. The primary endpoint was time to death or respiratory intervention (invasive or noninvasive for ≥ 6 hours per day continuously for ≥ 7 days or tracheostomy). The median (range) age at the first dose of nusinersen among enrolled infants was 22.0 (3–42) days. At a 2-year follow-up, the median age was 34.8 months (range 25.7 to 45.4) which is generally considered past the expected age of symptom onset for spinal muscular atrophy Types I or II based on known natural history. All 25 children were alive, and none required tracheostomy or permanent ventilation. Four (16%) participants with 2 *SMN2* copies utilized respiratory support for ≥ 6 hours/day for ≥ 7 consecutive days that was initiated during acute, reversible illnesses. All 25 participants achieved the ability to sit without support, 23/25 (92%) achieved walking with assistance, and 22/25 (88%) achieved walking independently. Results reported with additional 2 years of follow-up (data cutoff: February 15, 2021) demonstrated durability of treatment effect, and favorable safety profile after ~ 5 years of nusinersen treatment. (30) Eight infants had adverse events considered possibly related to nusinersen by the study investigators. (31)

No major limitation tables in study relevance, design and conduct were noted.

Table 5a. Key Characteristics Summary of NURTURE Study

Study	Study Type	Country	Sites	Dates	Participants
Nusinersen					
DeVivo et al. (2019); NURTURE (NCT02386553) (31)	Single-arm cohort	U.S., EU, Asia	15	2015-ongoing	Presymptomatic infants (N=25) documented to have 5q SMA homozygous gene deletion, homozygous variant or compound heterozygote variant, and deemed likely to develop SMA type I ($n=15$) or II ($n=10$)

EU: European Union; U.S.: United States; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy. NURTURE: A Study of Multiple Doses of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) Delivered to Infants With Genetically Diagnosed and Presymptomatic Spinal Muscular Atrophy.

Table 5b. Key Characteristics Summary of NURTURE Study Continued

Study	Interventions	Follow-Up
	Active	
Nusinersen		
De Vivo et al. (2019); NURTURE (NCT02386553) (31)	Nusinersen at FDA-approved dose	Analysis March 2019: median age 34.8 (25.7 to 45.4), and median time on treatment 2.9 years.

NURTURE: A Study of Multiple Doses of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) Delivered to Infants with Genetically Diagnosed and Presymptomatic Spinal Muscular Atrophy; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Table 6. Summary of Results of NURTURE Study

Study	All	2 <i>SMN2</i> copy number	3 <i>SMN2</i> copy number
De Vivo et al. (2019); NURTURE (31); 3 years of follow-up			
N	25	15	10
Primary Endpoint: Survival and respiratory intervention (invasive or non-invasive for ≥6 hours per day continuously for ≥7 days or tracheostomy)	Not estimable ^a as there were too few events	-	-
Secondary endpoints			
Sitting without support (%)	100%	100%	100%
Walking with assistance (%)	92%	87%	100%
HINE-2 motor milestone, mean (range)			
Baseline	Not reported	2.7 (0–5)	3.2 (0–7)
Post treatment	Not reported	23.9 (16–26)	26.0 (26–26)
CHOP-INTEND scores at last visit, mean (range)	Not reported		
% with maximum CHOP INTEND score of 64	Not reported	62.1 (48–64)	63.4 (58–64)
Clinically manifested SMA, % (95% CI)	Not reported	67%	100%
At age of 13 months		67 (39 to 87%)	20 (4 to 56%)
At age of 24 months		47 (22 to 73%)	0
Crawford et al. (2023); 5 years of follow-up with median age 4.9 years (range 3.8 to 5.5 years) (30)			
Alive and did not require permanent ventilation	100% (25/25)	Not reported	Not reported
Required respiratory intervention	16% (4/25)	Not reported	Not reported
Achieved a maximum score on the CHOP INTEND	88% (22/25)	Not reported	Not reported
Sitting without support	100% (25/15)	100% (15/15)	100% (10/10)

Walking with assistance	96% (24/25)	93% (14/15)	100% (10/10)
Walking alone	92% (23/25)	87% (13/15)	100% (10/10)

CHOP-INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; HINE:

Hammersmith Infant Neurologic Examination; NURTURE: A Study of Multiple Doses of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) Delivered to Infants With Genetically Diagnosed and Presymptomatic Spinal Muscular Atrophy.

^a All are alive and none required permanent ventilation. Four (16%) infants (all with 2 *SMN2* copies) utilized respiratory intervention for ≥6 hours per day continuously for ≥7 days during an acute, reversible illness. At the last study day prior to data cutoff, 2 of these infants no longer utilized respiratory intervention; these infants had previously received respiratory intervention for ≥6 hours per day for totals of 20 and 266 days during the course of the study. The other 2 infants continued to receive respiratory intervention for 2 and 10 hours per day, respectively, at the last study day prior to data cutoff; these infants received respiratory intervention for ≥6 hours per day for totals of 236 and 644 days, respectively, over the course of the study.

Section Summary: Presymptomatic Patients with a Genetic Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy and a Minimum of 2 but Less Than 4 Copies of *SMN2*

The evidence for use of nusinersen for presymptomatic type I (infantile-onset) SMA consists of a single-arm study. After a median follow-up of 2.9 years of 25 infants who received the first dose of nusinersen at median age of 22 days and are now median age of 34.8 months, 100% were alive, 100% achieved the ability to sit without support, 92% achieved the ability to walk with assistance, and 88% achieved the ability to walk independently. While none required permanent ventilation or tracheostomy, 4 (16%) participants with 2 *SMN2* copies utilized respiratory support for ≥6 hours/day for ≥7 consecutive days that was initiated during acute, reversible illnesses. Results reported with additional 2 years of follow-up (data cutoff February 15, 2021) demonstrated durability of treatment effect, and favorable safety profile after ~5 years of nusinersen treatment. These results demonstrate that early treatment resulted in the achievement of motor milestones among patients who are not likely to attain them without treatment.

Type I (Infantile-Onset) Spinal Muscular Atrophy

The evidence base for infantile-onset or type I SMA is summarized in Table 7. The supporting EMBRACE and CS3A studies are not reviewed in detail. EMBRACE was terminated early to roll over participants to an open label extension study after the demonstration of motor function benefit with nusinersen in ENDEAR.

Table 7. Summary of Key Clinical Trials in Infantile-Onset or Type I Spinal Muscular Atrophy Patients

Study (Trial)	Trial Name	Design	Dates	Patients (N)	Outcome
Finkel et al. (2017) (32) (NCT02193074)	ENDEAR	RCT	Aug 2014	Symptomatic (82)	Efficacy, safety
Ascadi et al. (2021) (33) (NCT02462759)	EMBRACE	RCT	Aug 2015	Symptomatic (21)	Safety, tolerability
Finkel et al. (2016) (18) (NCT01839656)	CS3A	Single arm	May 2013	Symptomatic (20)	Safety, PK

EMBRACE: A Study to Assess the Safety and Tolerability of Nusinersen in Participants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy; ENDEAR: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Infants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy; PK: pharmacokinetics; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

Randomized Studies

The pivotal ENDEAR trial was a multicenter randomized, double-blind trial in which 121 infants with a documented genetic diagnosis of SMA with symptom onset before 6 months of age were randomized 2:1 to nusinersen (n=80) or to sham injection (n=41). (32) Nusinersen was approved on the basis of a planned interim analysis of 82 patients who completed at least 183 days of treatment or died or withdrew. Patients' demographics at baseline were 44% male and 87% White, with a median length of treatment 261 days (range, 6-442 days). The primary endpoint was the proportion of motor milestone responders. See Table 8 for the study summary.

Results are summarized in Table 9. (32) The trial met its coprimary endpoints, with nusinersen showing clinically meaningful improvement in motor milestones and probability of surviving or receiving permanent-assisted ventilation compared with sham control. The median time to death or the use of permanent-assisted ventilation was 22.6 weeks in the control group and was not reached in the nusinersen group. Multiple secondary endpoints showed a consistency in treatment effect favoring nusinersen over sham control. While no irreversible harms were observed in the preliminary clinical data analyzed by the FDA before drug approval, the FDA noted that such harms could not be ruled out based on animal toxicity data (potential of neurotoxicity) and class effects of antisense oligonucleotides (coagulation abnormalities, thrombocytopenia, renal toxicity). Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term data documenting safety and efficacy is needed.

The purpose of the study limitations table (see Table 10) is to display notable limitations identified in a study. This information is synthesized as a summary of the body of evidence following each table. No study design and conduct gaps were identified. Notable study limitations include a relatively short follow-up, which is inadequate to assess the durability of the treatment effect or safety, especially those that are potentially rare or have delayed onset. In addition to the gaps identified in the tables, the 2 treatment groups were not balanced with respect to age at symptom onset, use of ventilatory support, and the presence of symptoms specific to spinal muscular atrophy. These were higher in the nusinersen group than the control group. None of these differences were tested for statistical significance.

Table 8. Summary of Key ENDEAR Trial

Study	Study Type	Country	Sites	Dates	Participants	Interventions		Follow-Up
						Active	Comp- arator	
Nusinersen								

Finkel et al. (2017); ENDEAR (32)	DB-RCT	U.S., EU, Asia	31	2014-2016	SMA type I with symptom onset before 6 months (N=121)	Nusinersen at FDA-approved dose (n=80)	Placebo (n=41)	Median length of treatment of 261 days (range, 6-442 days); trial terminated early
-----------------------------------	--------	----------------	----	-----------	---	--	----------------	--

ENDEAR: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Infants With Spinal Muscular Atrophy; DB-RCT: double-blind randomized controlled trial; U.S.: United States; EU: European Union; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Table 9. Summary of Results of ENDEAR Trial

Study	Percent motor milestone response (HINE section 2) ^a	No death or use of permanent-assisted ventilation ^b	≥ 4-point improvement in CHOP INTEND score ^c	No death	No use of permanent-assisted ventilation ^b	CMAP response ^d
Finkel et al. (2017); ENDEAR (32)						
N	121	121	121	121	121	121
Nusinersen	37/73 (51)	49/80 (61)	52/73 (71)	67/80(84)	62/80 (78)	26/73 (36)
Sham	0/37 (0)	13/41 (32)	1/37 (3)	25/41 (61)	28/41 (68)	2/37 (5)
HR (95% CI)	-	0.53 (0.32 to 0.89)	-	0.37 (0.18 to 0.77)	0.66 (0.32 to 1.37)	-
P value	<.001	.005	<.001	.004	.13	-

CI: confidence interval; CMAP: compound muscle action potential; HINE: Hammersmith Infant Neurologic Examination; HR: hazard ratio; CHOP INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; ENDEAR: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Infants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy; Adapted from Finkel et al. (2017). (33) Values are percent or n (%) or as otherwise indicated.

Final analysis conducted on November 21, 2016 included 121 data from infants who had undergone randomization and the assigned procedure at least once.

^a Motor milestone response was defined according to scores on the HINE-2, which assesses the development of motor function through the achievement of motor milestones; in this trial, the scores accounted for 7 of the 8 motor milestone categories, excluding voluntary grasp. Infants were considered to have a motor milestone response if they met the following 2 criteria: improvement in at least 1 category (i.e., an increase in the score for head control, rolling, sitting, crawling, standing, or walking of ≥1 point, an increase in the score for kicking of ≥2 points, or achievement of the maximal score for kicking) and more categories with improvement than categories with worsening (i.e., a decrease in the

score for head control, rolling, sitting, crawling, standing, or walking of ≥ 1 point or a decrease in the score for kicking of ≥ 2 points).

^b Permanent-assisted ventilation was defined as tracheostomy or ventilatory support for at least 16 h/d for more than 21 continuous days in the absence of an acute reversible event, as determined by an independent endpoint adjudication committee.

^c A CHOP INTEND response was defined as an increase of at least 4 points from baseline in CHOP INTEND score at the end-of-trial visit (day 183, 302, or 394).

^d A CMAP response was defined as an increase in the peroneal CMAP amplitude to at least 1 mV (or maintenance of an amplitude of ≥ 1 mV) at the end-of-trial visit (day 183, 302, or 394).

Table 10. Study Relevance Limitations

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b	Comparator ^c	Outcomes ^d	Follow-Up ^e
Finkel et al. (2017); ENDEAR (32)					1. Not sufficient duration for benefit 2. Not sufficient duration for harms

ENDEAR: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Infants With Spinal Muscular Atrophy

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

^c Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

^e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

Section Summary: Type I (Infantile-Onset) Spinal Muscular Atrophy

The evidence for use of nusinersen for symptomatic type I (infantile-onset) spinal muscular atrophy consists of a double-blind RCT. The phase 3 confirmatory ENDEAR trial (N=121) showed clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in motor milestones and event-free survival that exceeded those seen in the control group. However, most patients had a modest response or no response, and only a small proportion of patients (6%) gained the ability to sit without assistance. On average, the mean motor milestone score in nusinersen-treated patients improved by three points over 6 months. Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term data documenting safety and efficacy are needed.

Type II or III Spinal Muscular Atrophy

The evidence base for patients with type II or III SMA is summarized in Table 11. The evidence base for patients with type II and III spinal muscular atrophy consists of 4 early-phase, open-

label studies and a double-blind phase 3 RCT. Of these, data from phase 1 single-arm studies are not reviewed in detail because they were early dose-finding and proof-of-concept studies.

Table 11. Summary of Key Trial Characteristics in Type II and III SMA Patients

Study (Trial)	Trial Name	Design	Dates	N	Outcomes
Chiriboga et al. (2016) (34) (NCT01494701)	CS1	1 arm	Nov 2011	28	Safety and tolerability
Chiriboga et al. (2016) (34) (NCT01780246)	CS10	1 arm	Jan 2013	18	Safety and tolerability
Darras et al. (2019) (35) (NCT01703988)	CS2	1 arm	Oct 2012	34	Safety and tolerability
Darras et al. (2019) (35) (NCT02052791)	CS12	1 arm	Jan 2014	47	Safety and tolerability
Mercuri et al. (2018) (36) (NCT02292537)	CHERISH	RCT	July 2014	126	Efficacy and safety

CHERISH: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen in Participants With Later-onset Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA); RCT: randomized controlled trial; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Randomized Studies

The pivotal CHERISH trial randomized 126 nonambulatory individuals. See Table 12 for the study summary. The primary end point was change in Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale Expanded (HFMSE) score compared with baseline. Results are summarized in Table 13. The trial met its primary endpoints with nusinersen showing clinically meaningful improvement in mean HFMSE scores compared with sham control. In terms of responder analysis, a higher percentage of children in the nusinersen group (57%) than in the control group (26%; $p<.001$) had an increase from baseline to month 15 in the HFMSE score of at least 3 points, which was considered meaningful. Multiple secondary endpoints summarized in Table 13 showed a consistency in treatment effect favoring nusinersen compared with sham control. The overall incidences of adverse events and moderate and serious adverse events were similar for the nusinersen group and the control group (93% and 100% vs 46% and 55%, respectively). Adverse events with an incidence of 5 or more percentage points higher in the nusinersen group than in the control group were pyrexia, headache, vomiting, back pain, and epistaxis. Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term data documenting safety and efficacy are needed.

The purpose of the study limitations table (see Table 14) is to display notable limitations identified in a study. This information is synthesized as a summary of the body of evidence following each table. No study design and conduct gaps were identified. Notable limitations include a relatively short follow-up, which is inadequate to assess the durability of the

treatment effect or safety, especially those that are potentially rare or have delayed onset. In addition, survival, ventilation, and event-free survival were not evaluated.

Table 12. Summary of Key Characteristics of CHERISH Trial

Study	Study Type	Country	Sites	Dates	Participants	Interventions		Follow-Up
						Active	Comp-arator	
Nusinersen								
Mercuri et al. (2018); CHERISH (36)	DB-RCT	U.S., EU, Asia	24	2014-2017	SMA type II (N=126) with genetic documentation of 5q SMA (a homozygous deletion, variant, or compound heterozygote in <i>SMN1</i>) with the onset of signs and symptoms at more than 6 months and between ages 2 and 12 years at screening as well as the presence of the following features at screening: the ability to sit independently, no history of the ability to walk independently (defined as the ability to walk ≥15 feet unaided), and a HFMSE score	Nusinersen at FDA-approved dose (n=84)	Placebo (n=42)	Prespecified interim analysis when all children followed for a minimum of 6 months and 39 or more children had completed 15-month evaluations

					between 10 and 54. Children were excluded if they had a severe contracture, evidence of severe scoliosis on radiography, respiratory insufficiency, or a gastric tube placed to provide adequate nutrition.			
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

CHERISH: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Participants with Later-onset Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA); DB-RCT; double-blind randomized controlled trial; U.S.: United States; EU: European Union; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy; HFMSE: Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale–Expanded.

Table 13. Summary of Results of CHERISH Trial

Study	Change in HFMSE score from baseline, LSM (95% CI) ^a	Patients with change In HFMSE score ≥ 3 points Percent (95% CI)	Patients who achieved ≥ 1 new WHO motor milestone Percent (95% CI)	Change from baseline in number of WHO motor milestones achieved, LSM (95% CI) ^a	Change from baseline in RULM score, LSM (95% CI) ^a	Patients who achieved ability to stand alone Percent (95% CI)	Patients who achieved ability to walk with assistance Percent (95% CI)
Mercuri et al. (2018); CHERISH (36)							
N	126	126	126	126	126	126	126
Nusinersen	3.9 (3.0 to 4.9)	57 (46 to 68)	20 (11 to 31)	0.2 (0.1 to 0.3)	4.2 (3.4 to 5.0)	2 (0 to 8)	2 (0 to 8)
Sham	-1.0 (-2.5 to 0.5)	26 (12 to 40)	6 (1 to 20)	-0.2 (-0.4 to 0)	0.5 (-0.6 to 1.6)	3 (0 to 15)	0 (0 to 10)
Difference (95% CI)	4.9 (3.1 to 6.7)	30.5 (12.7 to 48.3)	14 (-7 to 34)	0.4 (0.2 to 0.7)	3.7 (2.3 to 5.0)	-1 (-22 to 19)	2 (-19 to 22)

Odds ratio (95% CI) ^b	-	6 (2 to 15)	-	-	-	-	-
P value	<.001 ^c	<.001	-	-	-	-	-

CHERISH: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Participants With Later-onset Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA); CI: confidence interval; HFMSE: Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale–Expanded; LSM: least-squares mean; RULM: Revised Upper Limb Module; WHO: World Health Organization.

Adapted from Mercuri et al. (2018). (37)

Outcomes assessed at 15 Months. In the final analysis, the multiple imputation method was used for missing data to assess changes from baseline in the HFMSE score, percentage of children with a change in HFMSE score of at least 3 points and change from baseline in the RULM score.

The proportion of missing data for 15-month time-point for HFMSE score were 21% (18/84) and 19% (8/42) in the nusinersen and control group, respectively, and imputed using a multiple imputation method to conduct an intention-to-treat analysis.

^a LSM change and LSM difference in change between groups were based on analysis of covariance with group assignment as a fixed effect and with adjustment for each child's age at screening and the value at baseline.

^b This value is an odds ratio rather than a difference. The odds ratio for nusinersen vs control was based on logistic regression, with group assignment as a fixed effect and with adjustment for each child's age at screening and the HFMSE score at baseline.

^c Because the p-value for the primary endpoint was significant in the interim analysis, this endpoint was not formally tested for significance in the final analysis.

Table 14. Study Relevance Limitations

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b	Comparator ^c	Outcomes ^d	Follow-Up ^e
Mercuri et al. (2018); CHERISH (36)				1. Key health outcomes not addressed	1. Not sufficient duration for benefit 2. Not sufficient duration for harms

CHERISH: A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) in Participants with Later-onset Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA);

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

^c Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported.

^e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

Section Summary: Type II or III Spinal Muscular Atrophy

The evidence for the use of nusinersen for patients with type II or III SMA consists of a double-blind RCT. The phase 3 confirmatory CHERISH trial (126 patients) showed clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in motor milestones that exceeded those seen in the control group. Multiple secondary endpoints showed a consistency in treatment effect favoring nusinersen over sham control. The treatment effect was greater in younger children and in those who received treatment earlier in their disease course. Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term data documenting safety and efficacy are needed.

Safety: Nusinersen

As per the prescribing label, thrombocytopenia (including acute, severe thrombocytopenia) and renal toxicity (including potentially fatal glomerulonephritis) have been observed with antisense oligonucleotides. (14) In the controlled ENDEAR trial, the most common adverse events that occurred in at least 20% of nusinersen-treated patients and occurred at least 5% more frequently than in sham-controlled patients were a lower respiratory infection, upper respiratory infection, and constipation. Atelectasis (a serious adverse event) was more frequent in nusinersen-treated patients (14%) than in sham control (5%). Adverse events reported verbally were not assessable in the sham-controlled trial because patients were infants. In the open-labeled studies of patients with type II or III SMA, the most common adverse events were a headache (50%), back pain (41%), and post lumbar puncture syndrome (41%), which occurred within 5 days of lumbar puncture. Other adverse events in these patients were consistent with reactions observed in the controlled study. Also, 1 case of severe hyponatremia in an infant that required salt supplementation for 14 months and 2 cases of rash were reported. Both patients with rash continued to receive nusinersen and had a spontaneous rash resolution.

Development of anti-nusinersen antibodies was assessed in 126 patients of whom 5 (4%) developed treatment-emergent antidrug antibodies, of which 3 were transient and 2 were persistent. There are insufficient data to evaluate the effect of antidrug antibodies on clinical response, adverse events, or the pharmacokinetic profile of nusinersen. (14)

Summary of Evidence

Presymptomatic Patients with a Genetic Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) and a Minimum of 2 but Less Than 4 Copies of survival motor neuron 2 (SMN2)

For individuals who are presymptomatic with a genetic diagnosis of SMA and a minimum of 2 but less than 4 copies of *SMN2* who receive nusinersen, the evidence includes an open-label single-arm trial. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. After a median follow-up of 2.9 years of follow-up of 25 infants who received the first dose of nusinersen at a median age of 22 days and are now median age of 34.8 months, 100% were alive, 100% achieved the ability to sit without support, 92% achieved the ability to walk with assistance, and 88% achieved the ability to walk independently. While none required permanent ventilation or tracheostomy, 4 (16%) participants with 2 *SMN2* copies utilized respiratory support for ≥6 hours/day for ≥7 consecutive days that was initiated during acute, reversible illnesses. Results reported with additional 2 years of follow-up (data cut-off: February 15, 2021) demonstrated durability of treatment effect, and favorable safety profile after ~5

years of nusinersen treatment. These results demonstrate that early treatment resulted in the achievement of motor milestones among patients who are not likely to attain them without treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Infantile-Onset or Type I SMA

For individuals who have type I (infantile-onset) SMA who receive nusinersen, the evidence includes a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The phase 3 confirmatory ENDEAR trial (N=121) showed clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in motor milestones, event-free survival, and overall survival that exceeded those seen in the control group with an acceptable safety profile. The proportion of patients, who met the primary endpoint responder definition of achieving motor milestones, was 51% in the nusinersen arm compared with 0% in the sham-controlled arm. Further, the hazard ratio for event-free survival was 0.53 favoring nusinersen over sham-controlled. It is notable, however, that 50% of nusinersen-treated subjects did not achieve the primary endpoint motor milestone response. Only a small proportion of patients (6%) gained the ability to sit without assistance. On average, the mean motor milestone score in nusinersen-treated patients improved by 3 points over 6 months. Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term safety, and lack of efficacy in a substantial number of patients continued risk-benefit assessment of long-term treatment with nusinersen is necessary. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Type II and III SMA

For individuals who have type II or III SMA who receive nusinersen, the evidence includes a double-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Efficacy findings from single-arm studies of type II and III SMA are difficult to interpret because these trials used a wide range of nusinersen doses and lacked control arms. The phase 3 confirmatory, CHERISH trial (N=126) showed clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in motor milestones (measured using Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale–Expanded [HFMSE] scores) that exceeded those seen in the control group (difference of 5.9 points favoring nusinersen over sham control, $p<.001$). The respective proportion of patients with clinically meaningful improvements in HFMSE scores greater than 3 points was 57% vs 26% ($p<.001$). Multiple secondary endpoints also showed a consistency in treatment effect favoring nusinersen over sham control. Given the limited data on the durability of response, long-term safety, and lack of efficacy in a substantial number of patients continued risk-benefit assessment of long-term treatment with nusinersen is necessary. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

On July 24, 2019, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued technology appraisal guidance on nusinersen for treating spinal muscular atrophy. Nusinersen is recommended as an option for treating SMA only if people have pre-symptomatic SMA, or SMA types 1, 2 or 3 and the conditions laid out in the managed access agreement were followed. (37)

Institute for Clinical and Economic Review

The Institute for Clinical and Economic Review published a final report on comparative effectiveness and value of nusinersen for spinal muscular atrophy on April 3, 2019, and subsequently on May 24, 2019, published an update following U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi. (38)

Based on the lack of relevant data, the report concluded that the evidence for nusinersen was insufficient for type 0 and IV spinal muscular atrophy.

- For infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy, the report concluded with high certainty that nusinersen provides a substantial net health benefit, and rate the evidence base as “superior” to standard care (A). Limitations included potentially limited generalizability, as Type I spinal muscular atrophy patients with more severe disease were underrepresented in the trials and may not adequately reflect the “real-world” patient population.
- For later-onset spinal muscular atrophy, the report concluded with moderate certainty that nusinersen provides a small or substantial net health benefit with a high certainty of at least a small net health benefit and rate the evidence as “incremental or better” (B+). Limitations included potentially limited generalizability (trial population may not reflect the true patient population) lack of data on survival, ventilation, and event-free survival and long-term safety and durability of clinical benefit.
- For presymptomatic spinal muscular atrophy, the report concluded with moderate certainty of a small or substantial net health benefit with a high certainty of at least a small net health benefit and rate the evidence as “incremental or better” (B+).

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing trials that might influence this policy are listed in Table 15.

Table 15. Summary of Key Trials

NCT Number	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT04488133 (RESPOND) ^a	A Study of Nusinersen Among Participants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Who Received Onasemnogene Abeparvovec	46	Oct 2025
NCT05067790 (ASCEND)	A Phase 3b Study to Evaluate Higher Dose Nusinersen (BIIB058) in Patients with Spinal	45	Jun 2027

	Muscular Atrophy Previously Treated with Risdiplam		
NCT03709784 (SAS)	Spinraza in Adult Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SAS)	148	Jan 2025
NCT04729907 (ONWARD)	Extension Study of Nusinersen (BIIB058) in Participants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Who Previously Participated in a Study With Nusinersen	115	Jul 2026
Unpublished			
NCT02594124 (SHINE) ^a	A Study for Participants with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Who Previously Participated in Nusinersen (ISIS 396443) Investigational Studies	292	Aug 2023
Registries			
NCT04174157	Registry of Patients With a Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)	700	Jun 2038
NCT05042921	Pediatric SMA China Registry	600	Sep 2027
NCT05618379	Adult Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) China Registry	200	Jun 2028
NCT04177134	French Register of Patients With Spinal Muscular Atrophy	1000	Jan 2029
NCT05475691	Longitudinal Data Collection in Pediatric and Adult Patients With Spinal Muscular Atrophy in Latin America	361	Jan 2025

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

Coding

Procedure codes on Medical Policy documents are included **only** as a general reference tool for each policy. **They may not be all-inclusive.**

The presence or absence of procedure, service, supply, or device codes in a Medical Policy document has no relevance for determination of benefit coverage for members or reimbursement for providers. **Only the written coverage position in a Medical Policy should be used for such determinations.**

Benefit coverage determinations based on written Medical Policy coverage positions must include review of the member's benefit contract or Summary Plan Description (SPD) for defined coverage vs. non-coverage, benefit exclusions, and benefit limitations such as dollar or duration caps.

CPT Codes	96450
HCPCS Codes	J2326, J3490

*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©2024 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.

References

1. Prior TW, Snyder PJ, Rink BD, et al. Newborn and carrier screening for spinal muscular atrophy. *Am J Med Genet A*. Jul 2010; 152A(7):1608-1616. PMID 20578137
2. Wang CH, Finkel RS, Bertini ES, et al. Consensus statement for standard of care in spinal muscular atrophy. *J Child Neurol*. Aug 2007; 22(8):1027-1049. PMID 17761659
3. Lorson CL, Hahnen E, Androphy EJ, et al. A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. May 25 1999; 96(11):6307-6311. PMID 10339583
4. Lefebvre S, Burlet P, Liu Q, et al. Correlation between severity and SMN protein level in spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat Genet*. Jul 1997; 16(3):265-269. PMID 9207792
5. Feldkötter M, Schwarzer V, Wirth R, et al. Quantitative analyses of SMN1 and SMN2 based on real-time lightCycler PCR: fast and highly reliable carrier testing and prediction of severity of spinal muscular atrophy. *Am J Hum Genet*. Feb 2002; 70(2):358-368. PMID 11791208
6. Muscular Dystrophy Association. Spinal Muscular Atrophy. n.d. Available at <<https://www.mda.org>> (accessed December 18, 2025).
7. National Organization for Rare Disorders, Russman B. Spinal Muscular Atrophy. 2022. Available at <<https://rarediseases.org>> (accessed December 18, 2025).
8. Zerres K, Rudnik-Schoneborn S. Natural history in proximal spinal muscular atrophy. Clinical analysis of 445 patients and suggestions for a modification of existing classifications. *Arch Neurol*. May 1995; 52(5):518-523. PMID 7733848
9. Finkel RS, McDermott MP, Kaufmann P, et al. Observational study of spinal muscular atrophy type I and implications for clinical trials. *Neurology*. Aug 26 2014; 83(9):810-817. PMID 25080519
10. Rudnik-Schoneborn S, Hausmanowa-Petrusewicz I, Borkowska J, et al. The predictive value of achieved motor milestones assessed in 441 patients with infantile spinal muscular atrophy types II and III. *Eur Neurol*. 2001; 45(3):174-181. PMID 11306862
11. Farrar MA, Vucic S, Johnston HM, et al. Pathophysiological insights derived by natural history and motor function of spinal muscular atrophy. *J Pediatr*. Jan 2013; 162(1):155-159. PMID 22809660
12. Prior TW. Perspectives and diagnostic considerations in spinal muscular atrophy. *Genet Med*. Mar 2010; 12(3):145-152. PMID 20057317
13. Sugarman EA, Nagan N, Zhu H, et al. Pan-ethnic carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for spinal muscular atrophy: clinical laboratory analysis of >72,400 specimens. *Eur J Hum Genet*. Jan 2012; 20(1):27-32. PMID 21811307
14. Biogen Inc. Highlights of Prescribing Information: Spinraza (nusinersen) injection, for intrathecal use: Prescribing label. 2016. Available at <<https://www.spinraza.com>> (accessed December 18, 2025).
15. Albers CA, Grieve AJ. Test Review: Bayley, N (2006). Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development Third Edition. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. *J Psychoeduc Assess*. 2007; 25:18090.
16. Glanzman AM, Mazzone E, Main M, et al. The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND): test development and reliability. *Neuromuscul Disord*. Mar 2010; 20(3):155-161. PMID 20074952

17. Glanzman AM, McDermott MP, Montes J, et al. Validation of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND). *Pediatr Phys Ther.* 2011; 23(4):322-326. PMID 22090068
18. Finkel RS, Chiriboga CA, Vajsar J, et al. Treatment of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy with nusinersen: a phase 2, open-label, dose-escalation study. *Lancet.* Dec 17 2016; 388(10063):3017-3026. PMID 27939059
19. Glanzman AM, O'Hagen JM, McDermott MP, et al. Validation of the Expanded Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale in spinal muscular atrophy type II and III. *J Child Neurol.* Dec 2011; 26(12):1499-1507. PMID 21940700
20. Mercuri E, Finkel R, Montes J, et al. Patterns of disease progression in type 2 and 3 SMA: Implications for clinical trials. *Neuromuscul Disord.* Feb 2016; 26(2):126-131. PMID 26776503
21. Kaufmann P, McDermott MP, Darras BT, et al. Prospective cohort study of spinal muscular atrophy types 2 and 3. *Neurology.* Oct 30 2012; 79(18):1889-1897. PMID 23077013
22. De Sanctis R, Coratti G, Pasternak A, et al. Developmental milestones in type I spinal muscular atrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord.* Nov 2016; 26(11):754-759. PMID 27769560
23. Vuillerot C, Payan C, Iwaz J, et al. Responsiveness of the motor function measure in patients with spinal muscular atrophy. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* Aug 2013; 94(8):1555-1561. PMID 23380348
24. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Gorni K, et al. Validity and reliability of the 32-item motor function measure in 2- to 5-year-olds with neuromuscular disorders and 2- to 25-year-olds with spinal muscular atrophy. *Neurol Ther.* Dec 2020; 9(2):575-584. PMID 32856191
25. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Le Goff L, et al. Assessment of the validity and reliability of the 32-item Motor Function Measure in individuals with Type 2 or non-ambulant Type 3 spinal muscular atrophy. *PLoS One.* 2020; 15(9):e0238786. PMID 32946459
26. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Staunton H, et al. Validity and reliability of the Motor Function Measure (MFM32) in children with neuromuscular disorders (NMDs) and in individuals with Type 2 and non-ambulant Type 3 spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). Poster presented at the Cure SMA Researcher Meeting, 23rd International SMA Research Meeting, Disneyland, Anaheim, CA, USA, June 28-July 1, 2019.
27. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Application Number: 213535orig1s000. Clinical Review(S). Available at <<https://www.accessdata.fda.gov>> (accessed February 5, 2025).
28. Haataja L, Mercuri E, Regev R, et al. Optimality score for the neurologic examination of the infant at 12 and 18 months of age. *J Pediatr.* Aug 1999; 135(2 Pt 1):153-161. PMID 10431108
29. Kolb SJ, Coffey CS, Yankey JW, et al. Natural history of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy. *Ann Neurol.* Dec 2017; 82(6):883-891. PMID 29149772
30. Crawford TO, Swoboda KJ, De Vivo DC, et al. Continued benefit of nusinersen initiated in the presymptomatic stage of spinal muscular atrophy: 5-year update of the NURTURE study. *Muscle Nerve.* Aug 2023; 68(2):157-170. PMID 37409780
31. De Vivo DC, Bertini E, Swoboda KJ, et al. Nusinersen initiated in infants during the presymptomatic stage of spinal muscular atrophy: Interim efficacy and safety results from the Phase 2 NURTURE study. *Neuromuscul. Disord.* Nov 2019; 29(11):842-856. PMID 31704158

32. Finkel RS, Mercuri E, Darras BT, et al. Nusinersen versus sham control in infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy. N Engl J Med. Nov 2 2017; 377(18):1723-1732. PMID 29091570
33. Acsadi G, Crawford TO, Muller-Felber W, et al. Safety and efficacy of nusinersen in spinal muscular atrophy: The EMBRACE study. Muscle Nerve. May 2021; 63(5):668-677. PMID 33501671
34. Chiriboga CA, Swoboda KJ, Darras BT, et al. Results from a phase 1 study of nusinersen (ISIS-SMN(Rx)) in children with spinal muscular atrophy. Neurology. Mar 08 2016; 86(10):890-897. PMID 26865511
35. Darras BT, Chiriboga CA, Iannaccone ST, et al. Nusinersen in later-onset spinal muscular atrophy: Long-term results from the phase 1/2 studies. Neurology. May 2019; 92(21):e2492-e2506. PMID 31019106
36. Mercuri E, Darras BT, Chiriboga CA, et al. Nusinersen versus sham control in later-onset spinal muscular atrophy. N Engl J Med. Feb 15 2018; 378(7):625-635. PMID 29443664
37. NICE (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence). Nusinersen for treating spinal muscular atrophy. Published July 24, 2019. Available at <<https://www.nice.org.uk>> (accessed February 9, 2025).
38. Institute for Clinical and Evidence Review. Spinraza and Zolgensma for Spinal Muscular Atrophy: Effectiveness and Value (Final Evidence Report April 3, 2019; Updated May 24, 2019). Available at <<https://icer-review.org>> (accessed February 7, 2025).

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

The information contained in this section is for informational purposes only. HCSC makes no representation as to the accuracy of this information. It is not to be used for claims adjudication for HCSC Plans.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have a national Medicare coverage position. Coverage may be subject to local carrier discretion.

A national coverage position for Medicare may have been developed since this medical policy document was written. See Medicare's National Coverage at <<https://www.cms.hhs.gov>>.

Policy History/Revision

Date	Description of Change
01/01/2026	Document updated. Coverage revised to state: Initial Treatment Nusinersen (Spinraza®) may be considered medically necessary if all the following conditions are met: 1. Diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) confirmed by genetic testing demonstrating bi-allelic mutations in the survival motor neuron 1 (SMN1) gene as stated below: a. Deletion of both copies of the SMN1 gene; OR b. Compound heterozygous mutations of the SMN1 gene (defined below: i. Pathogenic variant(s) in both copies of the SMN1 gene ii. Pathogenic variant in 1 copy and deletion of the second copy of the SMN1 gene. 2. If the individual is symptomatic, documentation of a genetic test

	confirms 2, 3, or 4 copies of the SMN2 gene; OR if the individual is asymptomatic, documentation of a genetic test confirms 2 or 3 copies of the SMN2 gene. 3. The individual is not on permanent ventilator dependence. Continuation Treatment Reauthorization for nusinersen (Spinraza®) may be considered medically necessary if the following conditions are met: 1. The individual continues to meet initial treatment criteria cited above; 2. Documented evidence to support clinically meaningful improvement in motor milestones during the previous treatment period. Obtain a baseline motor milestone score from a validated assessment tool (See Policy Guidelines). Added Itvisma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-brve) as experimental, investigational and/or unproven when used concurrently with nusinersen (Spinraza®). Moved motor milestone language from validated assessment tools to the Policy Guidelines. References 27 and 30 added; others updated or removed.
12/15/2024	Reviewed. No changes.
09/15/2023	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. No new references added; some updated and one removed.
10/15/2022	Document updated with literature review. The following editorial change was made to Coverage: Initial therapy with 6 months was added to the initial therapy medically necessary for patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). References 15, 23-26, 33, and 38-39 were added; some updated and others removed.
04/15/2022	The following change was made in Coverage under Continuation Therapy: changed the timeline for submission of medical records with the most recent results from <1 month prior to request to <6 months prior to request.
03/15/2021	Reviewed. No changes.
01/01/2021	Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to Coverage: For Initiation Therapy 1) Removed requirement of < 15 year of age. 2) Added
01/01/2020	Document updated with literature review. The following statement was added to Coverage: Concurrent use of Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) and Nusinersen (Spinraza™) AND use of Nusinersen (Spinraza™) after Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven. References 5, 15-17, and 19 were added.
06/15/2018	Reviewed. No changes.
06/15/2017	New medical document. The use of nusinersen (Spinraza™) may be considered medically necessary for patients with Type I, II, or III spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) with a documented genetic diagnosis of SMA when meeting specific criteria. Nusinersen (Spinraza™) is considered not medically necessary for SMA patients not meeting criteria. Nusinersen (Spinraza™) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven for all other indications.

